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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes Sea Lamprey control activities conducted by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and Fisheries and Oceans Canada in the Great Lakes during 2014. These activities are consistent with the actions identified in the Great Lakes Sea Lamprey Control Plan to achieve Sea Lamprey abundance and marking targets that was adopted by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission in 2011. Lampricide treatments were conducted on 71 tributaries and 13 lentic areas. Larval assessment crews surveyed 549 Great Lakes tributaries and 66 lentic areas to assess control effectiveness, plan future TFM treatments, and establish production capacity of streams. Assessment traps were operated in 69 tributaries across the Great Lakes to estimate the adult Sea Lamprey populations in each Great Lake.

Adult Sea Lamprey populations were evaluated relative to fish-community objectives for each of the lakes. In Lake Superior, adult abundance (79,583, 95\% CI: 59,591 - 134,836) increased from the 2012 and 2013 abundance estimates. The target level for Lake Superior is 39,260 $\pm$ 21,262. In Lake Michigan, abundance (59,687 95\% CI: 54,709-65,860) was within the target level of 59,192 $\pm 13,414$ for the second consecutive year. In Lake Huron, abundance (104,361, $95 \%$ CI: 94,820-125,439) showed a substantial reduction compared to the 2012 and 2013 estimates. The target level for Lake Huron is $75,891 \pm 20,203$. In Lake Erie, abundance (14,577, 95\% CI: 13,184-16,342) decreased from the 2013 estimate but remains greater than the target level of 3,778 $\pm 1,206$. In Lake Ontario, abundance (19,482, $95 \%$ CI: 16,880-24,032) was less than the target level of $34,200 \pm 10,335$ and is the lowest abundance estimate in the time series.

## INTRODUCTION

The Sea Lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) is a destructive invasive species in the Great Lakes that contributed to the collapse of Lake Trout (Salvelinus namaycush) and other native species in the mid- $20^{\text {th }}$ century and continues to affect efforts to restore and rehabilitate the fish-community. Sea Lampreys attach to large bodied fish and extract blood and body fluids. It is estimated that about half of Sea Lamprey attacks result in the death of their prey and an estimated 18 kg ( 40 lbs) of fish are killed by every Sea Lamprey that reaches adulthood. The Sea Lamprey Control Program (SLCP) is administered by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission (Commission) and implemented by two control agents: Fisheries and Oceans Canada (Department) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). The SLCP is a critical component of fisheries management in the Great Lakes because it facilitates the rehabilitation of important fish stocks by significantly reducing Sea Lamprey-induced mortality.

As part of A Joint Strategic Plan for Management of Great Lakes Fisheries, the lake committees developed fish-community objectives for each of the Great Lakes. The fish-community objectives include goals for the SLCP that, if achieved, should establish and maintain selfsustaining stocks of Lake Trout and other salmonines by minimizing Sea Lamprey impacts on these stocks. The lake committees have agreed to Sea Lamprey abundance and Lake Trout marking targets for each of the lakes. This report outlines the program conducted by the control agents and the Commission in 2014 to meet these targets.

## FISH-COMMUNITY OBJECTIVES

Each lake committee has published qualitative goals for Sea Lamprey control in their fishcommunity objective documents. During 2004, the lake committees agreed to explicit Sea Lamprey suppression targets designed to meet their fish-community objectives. In lakes Superior, Michigan and Erie, the targets were developed from a five-year period when marking rates resulted in a tolerable annual rate of mortality on Lake Trout. A target and range of adult Sea Lamprey abundance was calculated for these lakes from the estimated average abundance over a five-year period when marking rates were closest to 5 A1-3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$. Similarly, a target and range was developed for Lake Ontario from the estimated average abundance over a five-year period when marking rates were closest to 2 A 1 marks per 100 Lake Trout >431 mm. In Lake Huron, the abundance target and range was calculated as $25 \%$ of the estimated average during the five-year period prior to the completion of the fishcommunity objectives (1989-1993).

The performance of the SLCP is evaluated annually by contrasting adult Sea Lamprey abundance with the Lake Trout marking rate against these targets. Lake-wide adult abundance is estimated by the Service and Department using a combination of mark-recapture and trapping efficiency estimates of adults in streams with traps, and regression model-predicted estimates in streams without traps. Since the model for estimating adult abundance is updated annually using all available data, the adult estimates for previous years can change, which in turn, can cause the adult targets to change. Lake Trout marking rates are assessed and collected by the member agencies that comprise the lake committees and their technical committees.

## Lake Superior

The Lake Superior Committee established the following goal for Sea Lamprey control in Lake Superior:

- Suppress Sea Lampreys to population levels that cause only insignificant mortality on adult Lake Trout.

The target and range of adult Sea Lamprey abundance for Lake Superior was calculated from the estimated average abundance for the five-year period, 1994-1998, when marking rates were closest to 5 marks per 100 fish ( 5.2 A1-3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The calculated target abundance in Lake Superior is $39,260 \pm 21,262$ Sea Lampreys.

During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Superior was estimated to be 79,583 (95\% CI; 59,591-134,836), an increase from 2012 and 2013 abundance estimates. The Sea Lamprey marking rate on Lake Trout is currently at 2.5 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$, which is less than the target of 5 marks per 100 fish.

## Lake Michigan

The Lake Michigan Committee established the following goal for Sea Lamprey control in Lake Michigan:

- Suppress Sea Lamprey abundance to allow the achievement of other fish-community objectives.

Sea Lamprey control has the most direct effect on achieving objectives for Lake Trout and other salmonines:

- Establish self-sustaining Lake Trout populations.
- Establish a diverse salmonine community capable of sustaining an annual harvest of 2.7 to 6.8 million kilograms (6 to 15 million pounds), of which $20-25 \%$ is Lake Trout.

The target and range of adult Sea Lamprey abundance for Lake Michigan was calculated from the estimated average abundance for the five-year period, 1988-1992, when marking rates were closest to 5 marks per 100 fish (4.7 A1-3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The calculated target abundance in Lake Michigan is $59,192 \pm 13,414$ Sea Lampreys.

During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Michigan was estimated to be 59,687 (95\% CI; 54,709-65,860), which was within the target range for the second consecutive year. The Sea Lamprey marking rate on Lake Trout is currently at 10.0 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$. The marking rate has been greater than the target of 5 marks per 100 fish since 1996.

## Lake Huron

The Lake Huron Committee established the following specific goal for Sea Lamprey control in Lake Huron:

- Reduce Sea Lamprey abundance to allow the achievement of other fish-community objectives.
- Obtain a $75 \%$ reduction in parasitic-phase Sea Lampreys by the year 2000 and a $90 \%$ reduction by the year 2010 from present levels.

This Sea Lamprey objective supports the other fish-community objectives, specifically the salmonine objective:

- Establish a diverse salmonine community that can sustain an annual harvest of 2.4 million kg , with Lake Trout the dominant species and anadromous (stream-spawning) species also having a prominent place.

The adult Sea Lamprey abundance target and range for Lake Huron were calculated as $25 \%$ of the estimated average abundance during the five-year period prior to the publication of the fishcommunity objectives (1989-1993). The calculated target using these data is $75,891 \pm 20,203$

Sea Lampreys in Lake Huron. Unlike the other Great Lakes, this explicit target was not based on observed marking rates that resulted in a tolerable annual Lake Trout mortality rate.

During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Huron was estimated to be 104,361 (95\% CI; 94,820-125,439). The 2014 abundance estimate represents a substantial reduction when compared with the 2012 and 2013 estimates. The Sea Lamprey marking rate on Lake Trout is currently 11.6 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout >533 mm. The marking rate has been greater than the target of 5 marks per 100 fish since 1983.

## Lake Erie

The Fish-Community Goals and Objectives for Lake Erie does not include a specific Sea Lamprey objective, however it does acknowledge that effective Sea Lamprey control is needed to support the fish-community objectives for Lake Erie, especially those related to Lake Trout restoration:

- Eastern basin - provide sustainable harvests of Walleye, Smallmouth Bass, Yellow Perch, Whitefish, Rainbow Smelt, Lake Trout, Rainbow Trout, and other salmonines; restore a selfsustaining population of Lake Trout to historical levels of abundance.

The Lake Trout management plan for rehabilitation of self-sustaining stocks in the eastern basin of Lake Erie prescribed a maximum annual mortality of less than $40 \%$ to permit the establishment and maintenance of suitable stocks of spawning adults. Mortality was to be controlled through management of fishery exploitation and continued suppression of Sea Lampreys.

The target and range of adult Sea Lamprey abundance for Lake Erie were calculated from the estimated average abundance for the five-year period, 1991-1995, when marking rates were closest to 5 marks per 100 fish (4.4 A1-3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The calculated target abundance in Lake Erie is $3,778 \pm 1,206$ Sea Lampreys.

During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Erie was estimated to be 14,577 (95\% CI: $13,184-16,342$ ). For the sixth consecutive year, this level of abundance is greater than the target range. The Sea Lamprey marking rate on Lake Trout is currently 16.6 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout >533mm.

## Lake Ontario

The Lake Ontario Committee established the following goal for Sea Lamprey control in Lake Ontario:

- Suppression of Sea Lamprey populations to early-1990s levels.

The Lake Ontario Committee recognized that continued control of Sea Lampreys is necessary for Lake Trout rehabilitation and stated a specific objective for Sea Lampreys:

- Control Sea Lampreys so that fresh wounding rates (A1) of Lake Trout larger than 431 mm is less than 2 marks/100 fish.

This objective is intended to maintain the annual Lake Trout survival rate of $60 \%$ or greater to support a target spawning stock of 0.5 to 1.0 million adults of multiple year classes. Along with Sea Lamprey control, angler and commercial exploitation will also be controlled so that annual harvest does not exceed 120,000 fish in the near term.

The target for Lake Ontario Sea Lamprey abundance was first calculated using the same marking statistics as the other lakes (A1-A3 marks). During 2006, the target and range were revised using A1 marks exclusively, which have been more consistently recorded on Lake Ontario. Also, the target marking rate of less than 2 A1 marks per 100 Lake Trout was explicitly identified as producing tolerable mortality in the Lake Trout rehabilitation plan. The target and range of adult Sea Lamprey for Lake Ontario was calculated from the average abundance estimated for the fiveyear period, 1993-1997, when marking rates were closest to 2 marks per 100 Lake Trout >431 mm (1.6 A1 marks per fish $>431 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The calculated target adult abundance in Lake Ontario is $34,200 \pm 10,335$ Sea Lampreys.

During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Ontario was estimated to be 19,482 (95\% CI; $16,880-24,032$ ), which was less than the fish-community objective target range and the lowest estimate in the time series. The Sea Lamprey marking rate on Lake Trout is currently 1.6 A1 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>431 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## LAMPRICIDE CONTROL

Tributaries harboring larval Sea Lampreys are treated periodically with lampricides to eliminate or reduce larval populations before they recruit to the lake as feeding juveniles. Service and Department control units administer lampricide formulations (TFM or TFM augmented with Bayluscide $70 \%$ wettable powder or $20 \%$ emulsifiable concentrate) and analyze active ingredients during stream treatments, and apply Bayluscide $3.2 \%$ granular (GB) to control populations inhabiting lentic areas. Specialized equipment and techniques are employed to provide concentrations of lampricides that eliminate about 95\% of the Sea Lamprey larvae while minimizing the risk to non-target organisms.

The Lampricide Control Task Force (LCTF) was established by the Commission during December 1995 and charged to improve the efficiency of lampricide control, maximize Sea Lampreys killed in stream and lentic treatments (while minimizing lampricide use, costs, and impacts on aquatic ecosystems), and define lampricide control options for near and long-term stream selection and target setting. The task force's report on the charges during 2014 is presented in the LCTF section of this report.

During 2014, lampricide treatments were conducted on 71 tributaries and 13 lentic areas of the Great Lakes (Table 1). Historical control efforts compared to 2014 control efforts are presented in Figure 1.

Table 1. Summary of lampricide applications in tributaries of the Great Lakes in 2014.

| Lake | Number of <br> Streams | Number of <br> Lentic | Discharge <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Distance <br> Treated $(\mathrm{km})$ | TFM <br> $(\mathrm{kg}))^{1,2}$ | Bayluscide <br> $(\mathrm{kg}))^{1,3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Superior | 25 | 7 | 171.4 | 670.2 | $17,146.0$ | 7588.2 |
| Michigan | 22 | 5 | 219.3 | $1,793.8$ | $47,778.5$ | 397.6 |
| Huron | 12 | 1 | 124.3 | 991.4 | $28,282.4$ | $2,078.6$ |
| Erie | 2 | 0 | 1.8 | 20.0 | 487.5 | 0.0 |
| Ontario | 10 | 0 | 48.1 | 235.2 | $6,654.5$ | 24.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 1 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 3 4 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 5 9 . 3}$ |

[^0]

Figure 1. Row 1: Number of control field days (orange bars). Row 2: TFM used (kg active ingredient, yellow bars). Row 3: Bayluscide used (kg active ingredient, purple bars). All rows: Abundance of adult Sea Lampreys is shown with blue lines. All metrics plotted against the Sea Lamprey spawning year. Control metrics are offset by 2 years, e.g., control applied during 2006 is plotted on the 2008 spawning year - the year the treatment effect would first be observed in the adult Sea Lamprey population.


Figure 2. Location of tributaries treated with lampricide in 2014.

## Lake Superior

Lake Superior has 1,566 tributaries (833 Canada, 733 U.S.). One hundred sixty-two tributaries ( 58 Canada, 104 U.S.) have historical records of larval Sea Lamprey production. Of these, 113 tributaries (45 Canada, 68 U.S.) have been treated with lampricides at least once during 2005-2014. Fifty-two tributaries (19 Canada, 33 U.S.) are treated every 4-6 years. Details on lampricide applications to Lake Superior tributaries and lentic areas during 2014 are found in Table 2 and Figure 2.

- Lampricide treatments were completed in 25 tributaries (8 Canada, 17 U.S.) and in 7 lentic areas (6 Canada, 1 U.S.).
- The Sioux River was treated with lampricide for the first time and contained high densities of Sea Lampreys throughout most of the treated length of stream.
- The Black River (Gogebic County) lentic area was added to the treatment schedule after a dense larval Sea Lamprey population was discovered inside the breakwalls.
- A portion of the Bad River treatment was postponed due to extremely high stream discharge. The Marengo, Brunsweiler, and upper Potato rivers were treated as scheduled in mid-September whereas the mainstream and White River were completed in late October.
- A special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin to enhance Sea Lamprey control in Wisconsin waters was applied to a second consecutive treatment of the Nemadji River. After the 2013 treatment, the river was estimated to contain 43,000 residual Sea Lampreys and the 2014 year class.
- Fossom Creek (Ravine River tributary), did not receive an effective treatment due to extremely low water levels and the presence of several beaver dams. Since the Ravine River is treated annually, Fossom Creek is scheduled to be retreated in 2015.
- Extremely high stream discharge rendered the Tahquamenon River untreatable. The stream has been rescheduled for treatment in 2015.
- Nama Creek (Pic River tributary), deferred for treatment in 2013, was treated in its entirety for the first time since 1979.
- The Little Cypress River was treated for the first time.
- The Michipicoten River was treated in August 2014 after being deferred in 2013.
- Oliver Creek, (Kaministiquia River tributary), was added to the treatment schedule based on the results of larval assessment surveys conducted in 2014.
- Offshore lentic areas associated with the Harmony and Batchawana rivers were treated twice in an effort to reduce the number of larvae surviving the first application. Based on an efficacy of $75 \%$, the estimated residual populations were large enough to rank for retreatment based on the cost-perkill of larvae >100mm.

Table 2. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Superior during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to location of stream in Figure 2).

| Tributary | Date | Discharge $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Distance <br> Treated (km) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liquid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wettable Powder Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Emulsifiable Concentrate Bayluscide (kg) | Granular <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaministiquia R. (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oliver Creek | 14-Aug | 0.1 | 4.7 | 32.9 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kaministiquia R. lentic | 12-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 69.7 |
| Current R. lentic (B) | 14-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 40.7 |
| MacKenzie R. lentic (C) | 13-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 93.7 |
| Nipigon R. (D) | 19-Aug | 60.5 | 11.6 | 5,954.2 | 1.6 | 78.0 | 6.1 | 0.1 |
| Lake Helen lentic | 20-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 80.6 |
| Little Cypress R. (E) | 13-Aug | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Pic R. (F) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nama Cr. | 14-Aug | 2 | 14.8 | 489.5 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Michipicoten R. (G) | 8-Aug | 43.7 | 24.6 | 2,594.7 | 1.9 | --- | 23.2 | --- |
| Michipicoten R. lentic | 9-Aug | 6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 50.9 |
| Batchawana R. (H) | 25-Jun | 6.9 | 12.4 | 428.9 | 0.4 | --- | 5.5 | 0.2 |
| Batchawana R. lentic | 26-Jun | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 114.8 |
| Batchawana R. lentic | 31-Jul | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 111.9 |
| Harmony R. (I) | 26-Jun | 0.2 | 2.9 | 12.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Harmony R. lentic | 23-Jun | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 37.1 |
| Harmony R. lentic | 1-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 37.1 |
| West Davignon Cr. (J) | 26-Jun | 0.2 | 4.2 | 21.2 | , | 78.0 | 3 | 636 |
| Total (Canada) |  | 113.7 | 75.6 | 9,540.3 | 4.1 | 78.0 | 34.8 | 636.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

Table 2. continued

| Tributary | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Distance Treated (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Liquid } \\ & \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wettable } \\ \text { Powder } \\ \text { Bayluscide }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Emulsifiable Concentrate Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Granular Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two Hearted R. (K) | 18-Jul | 4.3 | 106.1 | 645.4 | 2.9 | --- | --- | --- |
| Sucker R. (L) | 14-Jul | 2.2 | 22.4 | 281.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Au Train R. (M) | $9-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 4.1 | 16.7 | 843.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Laughing Whitefish R. (N) | 12-Jul | 1.6 | 8.1 | 98.2 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Carp R. (O) | 10-Jul | 3.1 | 8.5 | 475.9 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dead R. (P) | 27-Aug | 3.3 | 2.1 | 291.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Little Garlic R. (Q) | 27-Aug | 0.1 | 9.3 | 25.3 | 1.3 | --- | --- | --- |
| Ravine R. (R) | 21-Aug | 0.1 | 9.8 | 21.0 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Silver R. (S) | 26-Aug | 0.7 | 6.9 | 92.7 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Falls R. (T) | 24-Aug | 1.5 | 0.3 | 279.2 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| West Sleeping R. (U) | 22-Jun | 0.1 | 7.2 | 28.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Potato R. (V) | 21-Jun | 0.1 | 31.6 | 92.1 | 1.0 | --- | --- | --- |
| Cranberry R. (W) | 21-Jun | 0.1 | 22.4 | 53.0 | 1.3 | --- | --- | --- |
| Mineral R. (X) | 19-Jun | 0.4 | 11.3 | 119.3 | 1.0 | --- | --- | --- |
| Black R. lentic (Y) | 8-Oct | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 8.6 |
| Bad R. (Z) | 15-Sep | 28.6 | 136.3 | 2,769.7 | -- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sioux R. (AA) | 13-Sep | 1 | 7.2 | 102.3 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Nemadji R. (BB) | 9-Oct | 6.4 | 188.4 | 1,355.6 | 19.6 | --- | --- | --- |
| Total (United States) |  | 57.7 | 594.6 | 7,573.9 | 27.7 | --- | --- | 8.6 |
| Total for Lake |  | 171.4 | 670.2 | 17,114.2 | 31.8 | 78.0 | 34.8 | 645.4 |

## Lake Michigan

Lake Michigan has 511 tributaries. One hundred twenty-five tributaries have historical records of larval Sea Lamprey production, and of these, 90 tributaries have been treated with lampricides at least once during 2005-2014. Thirty tributaries are treated every $3-5$ years. Details on lampricide applications to Lake Michigan tributaries and lentic areas during 2014 are found in Table 3 and Figure 2.

- Lampricide treatments were completed in 22 tributaries and 5 lentic areas.
- This was the first year of an expanded large-scale treatment strategy that targeted consecutive year treatments to remove residual Sea Lampreys in large producing streams in lakes Michigan and Huron. The Jordan, Betsie, White, Cedar, Ford, and Manistique rivers and lentic areas offshore of the Manistique, Ford, and Jordan rivers were included as part of this effort. The Little Manistee and Muskegon rivers, which were already scheduled for treatment during 2014, are also part of the strategy. Since the strategy dismisses the need to treat streams in consecutive years that have already been treated in two of the last three years, the treatments during 2014 of the Betsie, Cedar, Ford, and Manistique rivers concluded their role in the strategy.
- State Creek was treated for the first time since 1986.
- Treatment of the Muskegon River was scheduled for mid-September in coordination with the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MIDNR) and Little River Band of Ottawa Indians to allow time for juvenile Lake Sturgeon to grow to a size when they are more able to resist the effects of a lampricide treatment. Despite this fall treatment effort, some juvenile Lake Sturgeon mortality occurred.
- Portions of the treatments of the Ford and Cedar rivers were postponed due to extremely high discharge. Several tributaries as well as the upper Ford River were treated as scheduled in May and the mainstream of both systems were completed in late October.
- The East Branch Fox River (Manistique River tributary), was treated about 25 miles further upstream than the historical upper application point and resulted in remote and challenging access issues.
- Bills Creek (Whitefish River tributary) was not treated in 2014. This stream has been rescheduled for treatment in 2015 in conjunction with the entire Whitefish River treatment.

Table 3. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Michigan during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to location of stream in Figure 2).

| Tributary | Date | Discharge $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Distance <br> Treated (km) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liquid } \\ \mathrm{TFM}(\mathrm{~kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wettable Powder Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Emulsifiable Concentrate Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Granular <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jordan R. (A) | 18-Jul | 5.7 | 34.3 | 1,732.7 | 13.2 |  | 9.4 | -- |
| Jordan R. lentic | 21-Jun | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 18.8 |
| Platte R. (B) | 6-Jun | 16.2 | 21.2 | 4,357.4 | 8.3 | --- | --- | --- |
| Betsie R. (C) | 2-Jul | 10.8 | 18.7 | 1,657.2 | --- | --- | 15.6 | --- |
| Manistee R. (D) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Manistee R. | 20-Jul | 6.2 | 79.5 | 1,538.8 | 25.5 | --- | 7.6 | 0.1 |
| Lincoln R. (E) | 22-Jun | 2.8 | 34.1 | 682.3 | 4.1 | --- | --- | --- |
| Pere Marquette R. (F) | 31-Jul | 19.8 | 260.3 | 5,128.8 | 4.3 | --- | 28.3 | --- |
| White R. (G) | 28-Aug | 11.3 | 157.0 | 4,321.0 | 13.3 | --- | --- | --- |
| Muskegon R. (H) | 12-Sep | 45.3 | 136.7 | 9,245.5 | 49.2 | --- | 127.3 | 0.1 |
| Kalamazoo R. (I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Cr. | 17-Jul | 0.2 | 6.6 | 70.7 | 6.6 | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 10-May | 3.0 | 25.4 | 688.5 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| St. Joseph R. (K) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipestone Cr. | 9-May | 1.1 | 6.6 | 451.6 | 6.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| State Cr. (L) | 26-Apr | 0.1 | 1.8 | 17.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trail Cr. (M) | 26-Apr | 1.9 | 29.3 | 415.3 | 1.6 | --- | --- | --- |
| Kewaunee R. (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Casco Cr. | 8-May | 0.5 | 3.1 | 369.4 | 0.8 | --- | --- | --- |
| Three Mile Cr. (O) | 9-May | 0.3 | 4.8 | 178.7 | 1.0 | --- | --- | --- |
| Peshtigo R. (P) | 10-Oct | 26.3 | 19.3 | 3,804.0 | --- | --- | 6.7 | --- |
| Cedar R. (Q) | 27-May | 20.1 | 133.6 | 3,741.1 | 1.3 | --- | --- | --- |
| Ford R. (R) | 23-May | 22.7 | 216.9 | 4,348.9 | 5.0 | --- | 18.0 | --- |
| Ford R. lentic | 25-Oct | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 54.5 |
| Days R. (S) | 13-Aug | 0.3 | 6.9 | 98.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Days R. lentic. | 16-Aug | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 15.3 |
| Tacoosh R. (T) | 30-Sep | 0.2 | 22.9 | 147.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ogontz R. (U) | 4-Oct | 1.0 | 16.1 | 113.9 | 4.4 | --- | --- | --- |
| Ogontz R. lentic | 30-Sep | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 18.4 |
| Manistique R. (V) | 7-Jul | 23.5 | 558.7 | 4,511.3 | 13.1 | --- | 19.3 | --- |
| Manistique R. lentic | 10-Oct | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 58.2 |
| Total for Lake |  | 219.3 | 1,793.8 | 47,620.6 | 157.9 | --- | 232.2 | 165.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

## Lake Huron

Lake Huron has 1,761 tributaries (1,334 Canada, 427 U.S.). One hundred twenty-one tributaries (59 Canada, 62 U.S.) have historical records of larval Sea Lamprey production. Of these, 83 tributaries (39 Canada, 44 U.S.) have been treated with lampricide at least once during 20042014. Forty-nine tributaries ( 22 Canada, 27 U.S.) are treated every 3-5 years. Details on lampricide applications to Lake Huron tributaries and lentic areas during 2014 are found in Table 4 and Figure 2.

- Lampricide applications were completed in 12 tributaries (4 Canada, 8 U.S.), 1 lentic area ( 0 Canada, 1 U.S.) and 340 ha of the St. Marys River (see Table 4).
- Based on 2014 post-treatment larval assessment, two GB plots on the St. Marys River were expanded and retreated to reduce the number of residual Sea Lampreys.
- This was the first year of an expanded large-scale treatment strategy that targeted consecutive year treatments to remove residual Sea Lampreys in large producing streams in lakes Michigan and Huron. The Garden River was treated as part of this effort. The Au Gres, Au Sable and Pine rivers, which were already scheduled for treatment during 2014, are also part of the strategy.
- Upstream distribution of Sea Lampreys in the North Branch of the Chippewa River (Saginaw River tributary) significantly increased the distance of stream that required treatment compared to past treatments.

Table 4. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Huron during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to location of stream in Figure 2).

| Tributary | Date | Discharge $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Distance Treated (km) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liquid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wettable Powder <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ | Emulsifiable Concentrate Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Granular <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Marys R. (A) | 16-Jun | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 856.2 |
| Root R. (B) | 8-Sep | 5.7 | 51.7 | 326.4 | 2.8 | --- | --- | --- |
| Garden R.(C) | 16-Jul | 4.2 | 73.7 | 478.6 | 1.0 | --- | --- | 0.5 |
| Thessalon R. (D) | 8 -Jul | 6.2 | 32.7 | 430.1 | 0.4 | --- | --- | 0.4 |
| Spanish R. (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Cr. | 4-Jun | 1 | 18.4 | 149.2 | 0.0 | --- | --- | --- |
| LaCloche Cr. | 2 -Jun | 0.9 | 15.2 | 122.2 | 0.6 | --- | --- | --- |
| Total (Canada) |  | 18.0 | 191.7 | 1,506.5 | 4.8 | --- | --- | 857.1 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saginaw R. (F) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chippewa/Pine R. | 25-May | 28.3 | 189.2 | 10,295.6 | 2.5 | 25.7 | 15.9 | --- |
| Carroll Cr. | 10-May | 2.5 | 18 | 264.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rifle R. (G) | 14-Aug | 8.5 | 168.9 | 2,790.6 | 21.8 | --- | 18.3 | --- |
| AuGres R. (H) | 28-Apr | 13 | 70.5 | 2,915.3 | 2.1 | --- | --- | --- |
| AuSable R. (I) | $9-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 41.1 | 24.9 | 6,905.4 | 17.9 | --- | 78.1 | 2.45 |
| Devils R. (J) | 29-Oct | 1 | 12.5 | 476.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ocqueoc R. (K) | 26-Oct | 2.8 | 27.2 | 575.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Carp R. (L) | 19-Jun | 3.7 | 98.2 | 1,077.6 | 2.5 | --- | --- | 31.80 |
| Pine R. (M) | 5-Jun | 5.4 | 190.3 | 1,409.8 | 14.0 | --- | --- | --- |
| St. Marys R. (A) | 8-Jul | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,049.2 |
| Total (United States) |  | 106.3 | 799.7 | 26,710.3 | 60.8 | 25.7 | 112.3 | 1,083.5 |
| Total for Lake |  | 124.3 | 991.4 | 28,216.8 | 65.6 | 25.7 | 112.3 | 1,940.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

## Lake Erie

Lake Erie has 842 tributaries ( 525 Canada, 317 U.S.). Twenty-seven tributaries (11 Canada, 16 U.S.) have historical records of larval Sea Lamprey production. Of these, 14 tributaries (7 Canada, 7 U.S.) have been treated with lampricides at least once during 2005-2014. Eight tributaries (three Canada, five U.S.) are treated every 3-5 years. Details on lampricide applications to Lake Erie tributaries and lentic areas during 2014 are found in Table 5 and Figure 2. In addition, larval production has been documented in the St. Clair River, three of its U.S. tributaries, and two tributaries to Lake St. Clair (one Canada, one U.S.), none of which have required treatment during 2005-2014.

- Lampricide treatments were completed in two Canadian tributaries.
- North and South creeks, (Big Creek tributaries) were retreated upstream of Lehman Dam in 2014. Low flow conditions in 2013 resulted in an ineffective treatment.
- The upper portion of Spittler Creek, (Big Otter Creek tributary) was treated in 2014. This section of stream was deferred to 2014 as insufficient discharge prevented treatment in 2013.

Table 5. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Erie during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to location of stream in Figure 2).

| Tributary | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Distance Treated (km) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liquid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Solid $\text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | Wettable Powder Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Emulsifiable } \\ \text { Concentrate } \\ \text { Bayluscide }(\mathrm{kg}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Granular <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Otter Cr. (A) Spittler Creek | May 28 | 0.8 | 13.5 | 256.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Big Cr. (B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Creek | May 30 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 128.8 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| South Creek | May 30 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 102.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total (Canada) |  | 1.8 | 20.0 | 487.5 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total for Lake |  | 1.8 | 20.0 | 487.5 | --- | --- | --- | --- |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

## Lake Ontario

Lake Ontario has 659 tributaries (405 Canada, 254 U.S.). Sixty-six tributaries (31 Canada, 35 U.S.) have historical records of larval Sea Lamprey production, and of these, 36 tributaries (16 Canada, 20 U.S.) have been treated with lampricides at least once during 2005-2014. Twentyseven tributaries ( 13 Canada, 14 U.S.) are treated on a regular 3-5 year cycle. Details on lampricide applications to Lake Ontario tributaries and lentic areas during 2014 are found in Table 6 and Figure 2.

- Lampricide applications were conducted in 10 streams (4 Canada, 6 U.S.).
- Credit River was added to the treatment list after larval surveys in 2014 confirmed it was necessary.
- Trout Brook (Salmon River tributary, NY) was treated further upstream from the historical upper distribution of larvae.
- Orwell Brook (Salmon River tributary, NY) was treated upstream of the barrier for the second time since 2012 to target a residual population established prior to construction. This stream will not be treated again in 2015, as larval surveys did not indicate the presence of residual larvae or recruitment above the barrier.

Table 6. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Ontario during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to location of stream in Figure 2).

| Tributary | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Distance Treated (km) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liquid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { TFM }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Wettable Powder Bayluscide $(\mathrm{kg})^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Emulsifiable } \\ \text { Concentrate } \\ \text { Bayluscide }(\mathrm{kg})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Granular <br> Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit R. (A) | 19-Jun | 9.7 | 41 | 1,764.1 | --- | --- | 23.6 | 0.9 |
| Bowmanville Cr. (B) | 23-May | 2.6 | 19.7 | 733.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grafton Cr. (C) | 25-May | 0.4 | 0.3 | 81.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Colborne Cr. (D) | 25-May | 0.8 | 0.9 | 180.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total (Canada) |  | 13.5 | 61.9 | 2,758.6 | --- | --- | 23.6 | 0.9 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lindsey Cr. (E) | 26-May | 0.5 | 10.4 | 75.4 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Salmon R. (F) | 1-May | 27.4 | 59.8 | 1,976.6 | 2.3 | --- | --- | 0.1 |
| Little Salmon R. (G) | 30-May | 1.4 | 38.8 | 242.8 | 2.5 | --- | --- | 0.1 |
| Ninemile Cr. (H) | 23-May | 0.9 | 26.1 | 226.3 | 4.2 | --- | --- | --- |
| Sandy Cr. (I) | 28-Apr | 3.1 | 27.8 | 1,049.3 | --- | --- | --- | 0.1 |
| Oak Orchard R. (J) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marsh Cr. | 27-Apr | 1.24 | 10.4 | 316.3 | --- | --- | --- | 0.1 |
| Total (United States) |  | 34.5 | 173.3 | 3,886.7 | 9.2 | --- | --- | 0.4 |
| Total for Lake |  | 48.1 | 235.2 | 6,645.3 | 9.2 | --- | 23.6 | 1.3 |

[^1]
## ALTERNATIVE CONTROL

The Service and Department continue to coordinate with the Commission and other partners to research and develop alternatives to lampricide treatments to provide a broader spectrum of tactics to control Sea Lampreys. During 2014, barriers were the only operational alternative control method. Juvenile trapping and nest destruction were explored as potential alternative methods. Other methods that are currently being investigated include the use of attractants (e.g. pheromones), repellents (e.g. alarm cues), and new trapping designs.

## Sterile Male Release Technique

The Commission discontinued the Sterile Male Release Technique (SMRT) in the St. Marys River in 2012. Long-term monitoring of egg viability and larval populations are used to assess changes that may be attributable to termination of the SMRT.

- In 2014, the mean egg viability from 20 nests was $52 \%$. This was lower than the mean egg viabilities in 2012 and 2013 ( $74 \%$ and 79\%), presumably due to the unseasonably cool water flowing from Lake Superior, but still higher than any egg viabilities from 1997-2011 when SMRT was ongoing (mean 29\%; range 4-48\%).
- The proportion of age-1 larvae ( $<47 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) captured in the St. Marys River by deep water electrofishing (DWEF) increased during 2011-2014, with the two highest proportions since 1993 observed in 2013 and 2014. These are the first two cohorts following the discontinuation of SMRT. This trend is similar to the trend in mean annual egg viabilities in that both indices reached their highest recorded levels after the SMRT was discontinued.


## Juvenile Trapping

- Trapping for out-migrating juvenile Sea Lampreys was conducted by the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC) in the Bad River (Lake Superior) during September and October. Fyke nets were set at Elmhoist Bridge and eight out-migrating juveniles were captured.
- Trapping for out-migrating juveniles was conducted in the Big South Branch Pere Marquette River (Lake Michigan) during March and April. Fyke nets and a rotary screw trap were fished near Wilson Road and no out-migrating juveniles were captured.


## Nest Destruction

- A four-mile section of the Potato River (Lake Superior) was surveyed through a cooperative agreement with GLIFWC to search for adult Sea Lamprey spawning activity and nests. No adults or nests were observed during 66 hours of effort during June and July 2014.


## Barriers

The Sea Lamprey barrier program priorities are:

1) Operate and maintain existing Sea Lamprey barriers that were built or modified by the SLCP.
2) Ensure Sea Lamprey migration is blocked at important non-SLCP barrier sites.
3) Construct new structures in streams where they
a. provide control where other options are impossible, excessively expensive, or ineffective;
b. provide a cost-effective alternative to lampricide control;
c. improve cost-effective control in conjunction with attractant and repellent based control, trapping, and lampricide treatments; and
d. are compatible with a system's watershed plan.

The Barrier Task Force (BTF) was established by the Commission during April 1991 to coordinate efforts of the Service, Department, and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) on the construction, operation, and maintenance of Sea Lamprey barriers. The task force's report on the charges during 2014 is presented in the BTF section of this report.

Beginning in 2007, an intensive effort to inventory and ground truth the information contained in the National Inventory of Dams was conducted to assess the Sea Lamprey blocking potential of barriers located on U.S. tributaries to the Great Lakes. This information is recorded in the SLCP's Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System (BIPSS) and barrier sites are monitored on a rotating schedule. The data contained in BIPSS are used to select barrier projects, monitor the frequency of inspections, and schedule upstream larval assessments. Further, the information can be used to assess the effects of barrier removal or modification requests on Sea Lamprey populations and identify structures that are important in controlling Sea Lampreys.

During 2014, there were 73 Sea Lamprey barriers in the Great Lakes basin that were either purpose-built to block Sea Lampreys (46), or constructed for other purposes (27), but modified to serve a Sea Lamprey control function (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Locations of tributaries with Sea Lamprey barriers. Structures that have been modified or constructed by others that prevent the upstream migration of Sea Lampreys are indicated by an asterisk.

## Lake Superior

The Commission has invested in 18 barriers on Lake Superior (Figure 3). Of these, 11 were purpose-built as Sea Lamprey barriers and 7 were constructed for other purposes, but have been modified to block Sea Lamprey migrations.

## Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System

- Field crews visited 33 structures on tributaries to Lake Superior to assess Sea Lamprey blocking potential and to improve the information in the BIPSS.


## Operation and Maintenance

- Routine maintenance, spring start-up, and safety inspections were performed on 12 barriers (6 Canada, 6 U.S.).
- Repairs or improvements were conducted on one Canadian barrier.
- Gimlet Creek (Pancake River tributary) - Repairs were completed to the access road which was damaged by flooding in fall 2014.


## Ensure Blockage to Sea Lamprey Migration

- Sturgeon River - Service personnel modified the weirs in the fish ladder at the Otter Lake Dam on the Otter River to create a lamprey barrier within the ladder.
- Black Sturgeon River - In 2012, the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (OMNRF) initiated a Class Environmental Assessment (EA) of their proposal to remove the Camp 43 Dam and construct a new Sea Lamprey barrier 50 km upstream at the former Camp 1 site. As part of this continuing process, the OMNRF, in cooperation with the Commission, hosted four Structured Decision Making (SDM) meetings to evaluate options for the existing dam, ranging from the status quo to relocation. Dr. Michael Jones (Michigan State University), the meeting facilitator, authored a summary report; however, the participants were unable to reach a consensus. Based on input from the public, First Nations, and stakeholders, as well as the results of the SDM, the OMNRF has decided to continue the EA process, which will include preparation of a Draft Environmental Study Report. The Report will take several months to complete and include details of the proposed project, evaluation of potential environmental effects of the project and alternatives, mitigation, and monitoring plans, and cost estimates for completion of the project.
- Consultations to ensure blockage at barriers in five tributaries were completed with partner agencies (Table 7).

Table 7. Status of concurrence requests for barrier removals, replacements, or fish passage projects in Lake Superior tributaries.

| Mainstream | Tributary | Lead Agency | SLCP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project | Position | Comments |
| Huron R. | South Fork | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | \#211, 213, 217 road | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
|  | Chinks Cr. |  | crossing culverts |  |  |
| Salmon Trout R. | Unnamed trib. | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | \# 17, 18 road crossing culverts | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Sturgeon R. | Otter R. | MIDNR ${ }^{2}$ | Otter Lake Dam | Do not concur | Blocking barrier |
| Union R. | N/A | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Union Springs Dam | Concur | Upstream of blocking falls |
| Bad R. | Kepsel's Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Wildcat Road culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |

${ }^{1}$ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (Ashland).
${ }^{2}$ Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

## New Construction

- Bad River - The USACE is the lead agency administering a project to construct a Sea Lamprey barrier in the Bad River. The USACE approved the Preliminary Restoration Plan (PRP) to review potential barrier sites on the Bad River under the Great Lakes Fishery Ecosystem Restoration program (GLFER). The PRP outlines a project's merit to seek approval for further federal expenditure. Barrier sites were reviewed for suitability in collaboration with the Bad River Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians. Survey work was completed during summer 2014.
- Whitefish River - Hydraulic analysis at the proposed barrier site has been completed and the required crest height determined. Based on the surficial soil profile, steel sheet pile would be suitable for barrier construction at this site. Design elements may include a seasonally operated or fixed crest and a trap-and-sort fishway. Planning will continue in 2015.


## Assessment of Candidate Streams

- No assessments were conducted.


## Lake Michigan

The Commission has invested in 15 barriers on Lake Michigan (Figure 3). Of these, 5 were purpose-built as Sea Lamprey control barriers and 10 were constructed for other purposes, but have been modified to block Sea Lamprey migrations.

## Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System

- Field crews visited 149 structures on tributaries to Lake Michigan to assess Sea Lamprey blocking potential and to improve the information in the BIPSS.


## Operation and Maintenance

- Routine maintenance, spring start-up, and safety inspections were performed on seven barriers.
- Pere Marquette River - The decommissioning of the former electrical barrier was completed. A site visit is planned for spring 2015 to ensure erosion control and re-vegetation measures are intact; a ribbon-cutting event is planned during summer 2015.
- Trail Creek - Service personnel placed 40 tons of large rip-rap on the east bank downstream of the barrier to repair and prevent erosion. Modifications were completed to operate a pool and weir fish ladder for the fall Chinook Salmon migration while maintaining sufficient drop in the spring to block Sea Lamprey migrations.


## Ensure Blockage to Sea Lamprey Migration

- Kewaunee River - A special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin provided supplemental funding for improving the Sea Lamprey barrier on the Kewanuee River. The Service is working in cooperation with the state to gather blueprints for the Buzz Besadny Fish Facility and Dam, along with existing hydrological data in that section of the river.
- Boardman River - The Service worked with Traverse City Parks and Recreation Department to replace all stop logs in each section of the spillway during 2012. Surveys conducted upstream of the Union Street Dam during 2013 and 2014 found no spawning activity or larval recruitment. The Service will continue to monitor for escapement upstream of the Union Street Dam.
- White River - During September 2012, the Service collaborated with the City of Hesperia, Department of Public Works to install new stop logs at the Hesperia Dam. No young-of-year Sea Lampreys were found upstream of the Hesperia Dam during 2013 fall electrofishing surveys; however, one young-of-year Sea Lamprey was collected during the 2014 lampricide treatment.
- Grand River - The City of Grand Rapids along with several citizens groups are proposing to remove the 6th Street Dam on the Grand River to provide for more varied use of the downtown rapids area. The current plan calls for removal of the existing structure and the creation of an artificial rapids complex that can be used by kayakers and anglers. A new inflatable crest structure is proposed approximately one mile upstream of the current location. A stakeholders meeting was held during November 2014 in Grand Rapids to discuss and update the Grand River Rapids Restoration Project Report, including the proposed Sea Lamprey barrier. The Service, Department, and Commission are engaged in the review of the proposed structure and will maintain a presence at various levels of project coordination.
- The Service provided field support to Michigan State University researcher, Dr. Michael Wagner, to conduct EPA-funded Sea Lamprey alarm substance field trials on the Carp Lake Outlet. Alarm cue tests were conducted to determine whether trap efficacy could be
increased by incorporating a naturally derived repellent (Sea Lamprey "alarm cue") alongside a synthesized partial sex pheromone ( 3 kPZS ) during the spawning migration. Initial results suggest that application of the repellent will be effective in moving migrants into the direct vicinity of trap entrances when traps are sited at barriers.
- Consultations to ensure blockage at barriers were conducted with partner agencies for 17 sites in 12 streams during 2014 (Table 8).

Table 8. Status of concurrence requests for barrier removals, replacements, or fish passage projects in Lake Michigan tributaries.

| Mainstream | Tributary | Lead Agency | Project | SLCP <br> Position | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milwaukee R. | Ulao Cr. | $\mathrm{NOAA}^{1}$ | Channel restoration | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Root R. |  | WIDNR ${ }^{2}$ | Horlick Dam | Do not concur | First barrier |
| Chicago R. | North Br. | USACE ${ }^{3}$ | Foster Avenue Dam | Concur | Lack of habitat |
| Jordan R. |  | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Old State Rd. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Bowen Cr . | Unnamed Trib. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Chamberlain Rd. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Manistee R. | Trib. to Soper Cr . | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Soper Fish Farm Dam (Brooke Dam) | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| Little Manistee R. | Syers Cr. | MIDNR ${ }^{5}$ | Syers Lake Dam | Concur | Dam improvements |
| Pere Marquette R. | Sanborn Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ St. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| White R. | Bear Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | $128^{\text {th }}$ Ave. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Grand R. | Fish Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Hubbardston Dam | Conditional | $6^{\text {th }}$ Street Dam must remain in place or be replaced |
| Grand R. |  | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Sanitation Dam | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| Grand R. | Rum Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Old Mill Dam | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| Grand R. | Rum Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Rock Dam | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| Kalamazoo R. | Battle Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Duck Lake Dam | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| St. Joseph R. | Dowagiac R. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | Niles Dam (Pucker St.) | Concur | Upstream of blocking barrier |
| St. Joseph R. | East Br. Paw Paw R. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | $63^{\text {rd }}$ Ave. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| St. Joseph R. | East Br. Paw Paw R. | USFWS ${ }^{4}$ | $26^{\text {th }}$ St. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |

[^2]
## New Construction

- Manistique River -The USACE is the lead agency administering a project to construct a Sea Lamprey barrier to replace a deteriorated structure in the Manistique River. Project partners include the Commission, Service, MIDNR, City of Manistique, and Manistique Papers, Inc. The existing Manistique Paper Dam was identified as the most feasible site for a new barrier.

The project was on hold while the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality completes review of the permit and wetland mitigation requirements.

- White River - The USACE is the lead agency on a project to construct a Sea Lamprey barrier on the White River. Project partners include the Commission, Service, and MIDNR. This project was on hold due to fish passage concerns by the MIDNR.
- Little Manistee River - The USACE is the lead agency on this project to replace the current dam at the MIDNR egg taking facility on the Little Manistee River. The current barrier height is insufficient to prevent Sea Lampreys from migrating upstream. The USACE is pursuing this project under the GLFER program and is currently preparing the Preliminary Restoration Plan for the project, which is scheduled to be completed during 2015. Service staff met during August 2014 with the USACE and MIDNR to discuss location and design of a new barrier.


## Assessment of Candidate Streams

- No assessments were conducted.


## Lake Huron

The Commission has invested in 17 barriers on Lake Huron (Figure 3). Of these, 13 were purpose-built as Sea Lamprey barriers and 4 were constructed for other purposes, but have been modified to block Sea Lamprey migrations.

## Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System

- Field crews visited 104 structures on tributaries to Lake Huron to assess Sea Lamprey blocking potential and to improve the information in the BIPSS.


## Operation and Maintenance

- Routine maintenance, spring start-up, and safety inspections were performed on 11 barriers (5 Canada, 6 U.S.).
- Repairs or improvements were conducted on one Canadian barrier:
- Still River - Sediment that had accumulated upstream of the barrier was flushed out during the fall of 2014. In addition, on-site observations indicate that stop logs are lifting during periods of high flow, increasing the risk of Sea Lamprey escapement. A locking mechanism will be installed during 2015.
- The electrical field of the combination low-head/electrical barrier in the Ocqueoc River was operated manually (continuously on) from April 11- April 22 when Smith-Root installed a new computer and smart concentrator panel. The barrier was active from April 22-May 10
and from May 13-May 18. The barrier was electrified for 36 total days when water levels inundated the low-head barrier.


## Ensure Blockage to Sea Lamprey Migration

- Cheboygan River - Plans to block adult Sea Lampreys at the Cheboygan lock and dam complex and to eradicate lampreys from the upper river continued:
- Control and research agents continued discussion with the USACE and the MIDNR regarding alternatives for preventing escapement at the Cheboygan River lock. The MIDNR is pursuing a refurbishment of the aging structure and the federal partners are interested in making the lock "lamprey proof" using GLFER funding through the USACE.
- A pilot study was conducted in the Upper Cheboygan River to provide evidence of a landlocked Sea Lamprey population and to inform lock refurbishment plans. Fyke nets were used to determine run timing, obtain morphology and statolith microchemistry data, and estimate abundance of adult lampreys in the upper river. Results indicate that a small population of adult Sea Lampreys ( $n<200$ ) completed their life cycle in the upper Cheboygan River during 2013 and 2014. Because the adult Sea Lamprey population in the upper Cheboygan River is small and has low immigration rates, the upper river could offer a unique system by which to test alternative control techniques and Sea Lamprey eradication strategies. Adult Sea Lamprey assessment in the Cheboygan River will continue during 2015.
- Saugeen River - Although rehabilitation of Denny's Dam was anticipated to commence in 2009, no progress has been made for a variety of reasons. It is currently on hold pending consultation between the Saugeen Ojibway Nation and the OMNRF.
- Consultations to ensure blockage at barriers in five tributaries were completed with partner agencies for seven sites (Table 9).

Table 9. Status of concurrence requests for barrier removals, replacements, or fish passage projects in Lake Huron tributaries.

|  |  |  |  | SLCP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mainstream | Tributary | Agency | Project | Position | Comments |
| Charlotte R. |  | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | 12 Mile Rd. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Cheboygan R. | Foch Lake Outlet | MIDNR ${ }^{2}$ | Foch Lake Dam | Concur | Barrier repair |
| Cheboygan R. | Cold Cr. tributary to Rainy Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Roost Rd. culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Cheboygan R. | Maple R. | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Lake Kathleen Dam | Conditional | Barrier modification/ removal |
| Ocqueoc R. |  | MIDNR ${ }^{2}$ | Emma Lake Level <br> Control Structure Dam | Concur | Barrier repair |
| Thunder Bay R. | Wildcat Cr. | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Hubbard Lake Trail culvert | Concur | Ineffective barrier |
| Black R. | South Br. | Huron Pines | Lavergne Rd. culvert | Pending | Ineffective barrier |

${ }^{1}$ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (Alpena).
${ }^{2}$ Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

## New Construction

- No new construction projects were initiated or underway.


## Assessment of Candidate Streams

- Bighead River - Department staff attended a second meeting with OMNRF staff in October 2014 and addressed concerns regarding the initial proposed site and fish passage that were raised during the first meeting. An alternative site has been identified which is located approximately 3 km upstream from the original site on privately owned land. The collection of hydrological and hydraulic data continues from the previous and new sites.
- Pine River (Nottawasaga River) - At the same meeting referred to above, Department staff addressed similar concerns regarding a previously proposed Pine River barrier. Following a meeting with Canadian Forces Base (CFB) Borden in September 2014, a preferred location has been identified within the Base. Discussion concerning the site location, construction, and fish passage options were favorably received by CFB Borden personnel. Hydrologic and hydraulic data collection at the new site is ongoing.


## Lake Erie

The Commission has invested in seven barriers on Lake Erie (Figure 3), all of which were purpose-built as Sea Lamprey barriers.

## Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System

- Field crews visited 116 structures on tributaries to Lake Erie to assess Sea Lamprey blocking potential and to improve the information in the BIPSS.


## Operation and Maintenance

- Routine maintenance, spring start-up, and safety inspections were performed on seven Canadian barriers.
- Repairs or improvements were conducted on two Canadian barriers:
- Little Otter Creek - A water flow deflector was installed to prevent the inundation of the integrated Sea Lamprey trap to reduce risk to personnel conducting trap operations during high spring flows.
- Young's Creek - New concrete steps with railings were installed to provide safer access to the site for barrier and trap operation and maintenance.

Ensure Blockage to Sea Lamprey Migration

- A consultation to ensure blockage at a barrier in one tributary was completed with a partner agency (Table 10).

Table 10. Status of concurrence requests for barrier removals, replacements, or fish passage projects in Lake Erie tributaries.

|  |  |  |  | SLCP |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstream | Tributary | Agency | Project | Position | Comments |
| Raisin R. |  | USFWS ${ }^{1}$ | Sterling State Park <br> Wetland Dike | Concur | Wetland <br> improvement |

## New Construction

- Grand River -The USACE is the lead agency administering a project to construct a Sea Lamprey barrier to replace a deteriorated structure in Harpersfield, Ohio. Project partners include the Commission, Service, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, and Ashtabula County. The USACE developed several alternatives, including: status quo, onsite rebuild, or rebuild further downstream. The USACE selected an onsite rebuild as the preferred alternative and completed the Detailed Project Report, which was sent to the USACE District Headquarters for approval. Construction is targeted for 2016.


## Assessment of Candidate Streams

- Big Otter Creek - Repairing an existing dam at a railroad bridge (Black Bridge in Tillsonburg, Ontario, Canada) has been identified as a potential option for preventing Sea Lampreys from accessing roughly 50 km of the upper watershed. Discussions with the owners of the dam, as well as OMNRF, the Long Point Conservation Authority, and the Town of Tillsonburg, will be initiated in 2015. Hydraulic data collection downstream of the Black Bridge Dam is ongoing.


## Fish Community Assessments

- Fish community assessments were conducted on three tributaries to Lake Erie: Forestville, Normandale, and Young's creeks to evaluate the potential impacts of Sea Lamprey barriers on fish communities in streams where they have been constructed. Analysis of the results is pending.


## Lake Ontario

The Commission has invested in 16 barriers on Lake Ontario (Figure 3). Of these, 10 were purpose-built as Sea Lamprey barriers and 6 were constructed for other purposes, but have been modified to block Sea Lamprey migrations.

## Barrier Inventory and Project Selection System

- Field crews visited 73 structures on tributaries to Lake Ontario to assess Sea Lamprey blocking potential and to improve the information in the BIPSS.


## Operation and Maintenance

- Routine maintenance, spring start-up, and safety inspections were performed on 11 barriers (9 Canada, 2 U.S.).
- Repairs or improvements were conducted on two Canadian barriers:
- Graham Creek - The water intake box and Johnson Screen were relocated closer to the Sea Lamprey trap to improve water inflow and to reduce clogging of the screen with sediment and floating debris.
- Cobourg Creek - Streambed modifications completed in 2013 to increase flow through the fishway and minimize clogging of the intake screen have only been partially successful. The situation will be monitored during the 2015 Sea Lamprey migration, and if performance continues to be compromised, a self-cleaning Johnson Screen will be installed in the fishway.


## Ensure Blockage to Sea Lamprey Migration

- Duffins Creek - An investigation is underway to improve safety around the barrier while restoring its Sea Lamprey control function.
- Credit River - Two aluminum stop logs were fabricated and delivered to the OMNRF, who installed them in the fishway to ensure the blockage of Sea Lampreys. In addition, OMNRF staff identified potential routes of escapement through holes in the main crest of the dam, which were subsequently sealed in the fall of 2014.
- Bowmanville Creek - The retrofit of the lamprey trap associated with the new fishway was completed and operational for the 2014 season. To monitor the Sea Lamprey control function of the new fishway, a data logger was installed to collect flow information.
- Ganaraska River - A stop log was provided to the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority to prevent Sea Lamprey escapement through the fishway. The original upper stop log was washed away during a spring flood in 2013.
- No consultations to ensure blockage at barriers were completed with partner agencies during 2014.

New Construction

- No new construction projects were initiated or underway.

Assessment of Candidate Streams

- No assessments were conducted.


## ASSESSMENT

The SLCP has three assessment components and include the following:

1. Larval Assessment determines the relative abundance and distribution of Sea Lamprey larvae in streams and lentic areas. These data are used to predict where larvae greater than 100 mm total length will most likely be found by the end of the growing season during the year of sampling. These predictions are used to prioritize lampricide treatments for the following year.
2. Juvenile Assessment evaluates the lake-specific rate of Lake Trout marking inflicted by Sea Lamprey. These time series data are used in conjunction with adult assessment data to assess the effectiveness of the SLCP for each lake. In addition, several indices of relative abundance of feeding juveniles are used to monitor Sea Lamprey populations over time.
3. Adult Assessment annually estimates the stock size of adult Sea Lampreys in each lake. Because this life stage is comprised of individuals that have either survived or avoided exposure to lampricides, the time series of adult abundance is the primary metric used to evaluate the effectiveness of the SLCP.

The Larval Assessment Task Force (LATF) and the Trapping Task Force (TTF) were created by the Commission in 2012. The LATF is responsible for ranking streams and lentic areas for Sea Lamprey control options and evaluating the success of lampricide treatments through assessment of residual larvae. The TTF is responsible for optimizing trapping techniques for assessing adult Sea Lamprey populations and removing adults and juveniles. The task force reports on their charges during 2014 are presented in the LATF and TTF sections of this report.

## $\underline{\text { Larval Assessment }}$

Tributaries considered for lampricide treatment during 2015 were assessed during 2014 to define the distribution and estimate the density and size structure of larval Sea Lamprey populations. Assessments were conducted with backpack electrofishers in waters $<0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, while waters $\geq 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth were surveyed with GB and DWEF. Survey sites were randomly selected in each tributary, larval Sea Lamprey catches were adjusted for gear efficiency, and lamprey lengths were forecast to the estimated end of the growing season. The number of large larval Sea Lampreys in each infested area was estimated by multiplying the mean density of larvae $\geq 100$ mm (number per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) by an estimated area of suitable habitat $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. Infested areas were ranked for treatment during 2015 based on the lowest cost per kill of larval Sea Lampreys $\geq 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, as estimated using this index of abundance and average treatment costs. Additional surveys are used to define the distribution of Sea Lampreys within a stream, detect new populations, evaluate lampricide treatments, and to establish the sites for lampricide application.

## Lake Superior

- Larval assessments were conducted on 189 tributaries (51 Canada, 138 U.S.) and 29 lentic areas (16 Canada, 13 U.S.). The status of larval Sea Lamprey populations in historically infested Lake Superior tributaries and lentic areas is listed in Tables 11 and 12.
- Surveys to estimate larval abundance were conducted in 35 tributaries (9 Canada, 26 U.S.) and in lentic areas offshore of 9 tributaries (5 Canada, 4 U.S.).
- Surveys to detect the presence of new larval Sea Lamprey populations were conducted in 86 tributaries (19 Canada, 67 U.S.). No new producers were found. A special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin to enhance Sea Lamprey control in Wisconsin waters led to additional surveys being conducted in nine of these streams that had no history of Sea Lamprey infestation. Based on survey observations, negative streams with moderate to high potential for future infestation will be a higher priority for future assessments.
- Post-treatment assessments were conducted in 13 tributaries (8 Canada, 5 U.S.) and 4 lentic areas (3 Canada, 1 U.S.) to determine the effectiveness of lampricide treatments conducted during 2013 and 2014. The Cypress, Huron, and Sturgeon rivers ranked for treatment again in 2015 based on the presence of residual Sea Lampreys. The Mackenzie River (lentic) was re-treated in 2014 based on the presence of residual Sea Lampreys.
- Surveys to evaluate barrier effectiveness were conducted in seven tributaries (two Canada, five U.S.). Escapement was evident only at the Otter Lake Dam in the Sturgeon River (Baraga County), where one young-of-year Sea Lamprey was found upstream.
- Biological collections for research or training purposes were conducted in five U.S. tributaries.
- Surveys were conducted in non-wadable lentic and lotic areas using 92.08 kg (active ingredient; 40.6 Canada, 51.48 U.S.) of GB. Survey details are provided in Table 13.

Table 11. Status of larval Sea Lamprey in Lake Superior tributaries with a history of Sea Lamprey production and estimates of abundance from tributaries surveyed during 2014.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | val Lamprey ation ast treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Davignon Cr. | May-72 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| West Davignon Cr. | Jun-14 | Jul-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Carp R. | May-08 | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Carp R. | Sep-07 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cranberry Cr. | May-11 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Goulais R. | Oct-12 | Jul-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Boston's Cr. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Horseshoe Cr. | Never | Jun-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Havilland Cr. | Jul-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Stokely Cr. | Jun-08 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Tier Cr. | Never | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Harmony R. | Jun-14 | Jul-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sawmill Cr. | Jul-11 | Jun-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Jones Landing Cr. | Never | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Tiny Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Chippewa R. | Jul-10 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Unger Cr. | Jul-10 | Jun-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Batchawana R. | Jun-14 | Jul-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Digby Cr. | Jun-13 | Jul-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Carp R. | Jun-09 | Jun-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Pancake R. | Jun-12 | Jul-12 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Westman Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Agawa R. | Sep-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sand R. | Sep-71 | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Baldhead R. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Gargantua R. | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Old Woman R. | Jul-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | 849 | 0 | Unknown |
| Michipicoten R. | Aug-14 | Aug-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Dog R. | Aug-63 | Aug-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| White R. | Jul-12 | Jul-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pic R. | Jul-13 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2019 |
| Nama Cr. | Aug-14 | Jul-11 | --- | Yes |  |  | 2019 |
| Little Pic R. | Aug-11 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Prairie R. | Jul-94 | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Steel R. | Jul-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Pays Plat R. | Jul-11 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 116,752 | 43,791 | 2015 |
| Little Pays Plat Cr. | Jul-07 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 23,820 | 6,011 | 2015 |
| Gravel R. | Jul-12 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Little Gravel R. | Jul-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Cypress | Aug-14 | Aug-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cypress R. | Jul-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 91,486 | 34,676 | 2015 |
| Jackpine R. | Never | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Jackfish R. | Jul-12 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |

Table 11. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of L Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | val Lamprey ation last treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nipigon R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Nipigon R. | Aug-14 | Aug-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2019 |
| Lower Nipigon R. | Aug-06 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cash Cr. | Jul-09 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 88,609 | 4,747 | 2015 |
| Polly Cr . | Jul-87 | Aug-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Stillwater Cr. | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Big Trout Cr. | Jul-10 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 27,885 | 2,535 | 2015 |
| Otter Cove Cr. | Aug-71 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Black Sturgeon R. | Aug-11 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Squaw Cr. | Jun-72 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Wolf R. | Jul-11 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Coldwater Cr. | Jul-12 | Aug-12 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pearl R. | Jul-10 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 3,291 | 525 | 2015 |
| D'Arcy Cr. | Jul-10 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Blende Cr. | Jul-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| MacKenzie R. | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Neebing-McIntyre FW | Jul-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Kaministiquia R. | Oct-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Corbett Cr. | Jul-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | --- |  |  | 2016 |
| Whitefish R. | Oct-13 | Aug-13 | --- | --- |  |  | 2016 |
| Oliver Cr. | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- |  |  | 2016 |
| Cloud R. | Jul-12 | Aug-12 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine R. | Jul-73 | Aug-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pigeon R. | Jul-12 | Aug-12 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waiska R. | Jul-07 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sec 11SW Cr. | Never | Jul-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pendills Cr. | Jul-12 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grants Cr. | Jun-08 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | 1,104 | 0 | 2015 |
| Halfaday Cr. | Jul-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Naomikong Cr. | Jul-63 | Jul-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ankodosh Cr. | Jun-08 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 4,912 | 951 | 2015 |
| Roxbury Cr . | Jun-08 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 17,579 | 663 | 2015 |
| Galloway Cr. | Jul-07 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 4,192 | 815 | 2015 |
| Tahquamenon R. | Oct-10 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{2}$ |
| Betsy R. | Oct-10 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 10,448 | 2,985 | 2015 |
| Three Mile Cr. | Jun-62 | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Two Hearted R. | Jul-12 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Two Hearted R. | Jul-14 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Dead Sucker R. | Aug-13 | Sep-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sucker R. (Alger Co.) | Jul-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Chipmunk Cr. | Sep-62 | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Carpenter Cr. | Aug-05 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 865 | 695 | 2015 |
| Sable Cr. | Sep-89 | Jul-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 11. continued.

| Tributary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { Treated } \end{gathered}$ | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| Hurricane R. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sullivans Cr. | Sep-10 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 475 | 119 | 2015 |
| Seven Mile Cr. | Jul-67 | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Beaver Lake Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2015 |
| Mosquito R. | Jun-73 | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Miners R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Barrier upstream | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Munising Falls Cr. | Sep-64 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Anna R. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Tourist Park Cr. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Furnace Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | Sep-10 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 939 | 39 | Unknown |
| Upper | Sep-10 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Five Mile Cr. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Au Train R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Jul-14 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lower | Jun-11 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rock R. | Jul-02 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Deer Lake Cr. | Aug-70 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Laughing Whitefish R. | Jul-14 | Jun-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sand R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below Dam | Jul-12 | Sep-14 | No | --- | 874 | 92 | 2015 |
| Above Dam | Jul-12 | Sep-14 | Yes | --- | 10,198 | 1,085 | 2015 |
| Chocolay R. | Jul-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | 498,843 | 38,869 | 2015 |
| Carp R. | Jul-14 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes |  |  | Unknown |
| Dead R. | Aug-14 | Jun-13 | Yes | --- |  |  | Unknown |
| Harlow Cr. | Jun-11 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | 21,357 | 3,147 | 2015 |
| Little Garlic R. | Aug-14 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Garlic R. | Jun-11 | Aug-11 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Iron R. | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Salmon Trout R. <br> (Marquette Co.) | Jul-12 | Jun-14 | Yes | Yes | -- | --- | 2016 |
| Pine R. | Jun-11 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 16,864 | 2,594 | 2015 |
| Huron R. | Aug-13 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | 8,874 | 6,212 | 2015 |
| Ravine R. | Aug-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Slate R. | Sep-13 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver R. | Aug-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Falls R. | Aug-14 | Jul-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Six Mile Cr. | May-63 | Jul-14 | --- | Yes |  |  | Unknown |
| Little Carp R. | Oct-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | 21,429 | 661 | 2015 |
| Kelsey Cr. | Never | Jul-13 | --- | Yes |  |  | Unknown |
| Sturgeon R. | Aug-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 286,951 | 73,577 | 2015 |
| Pilgrim R. | Aug-62 | Jun-14 | -- | Yes |  |  | Unknown |
| Trap Rock R. | Jul-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 180,415 | 36,973 | 2015 |

Table 11. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| McCallum Cr. | Aug-63 | Jul-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Traverse R. | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Little Gratiot R. | Aug-72 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Eliza Cr. | Jul-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Gratiot R. | Jul-11 | Jun-14 | Yes | Yes | 3,759 | 1,481 | 2015 |
| Smiths Cr. | May-64 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Boston-Lily Cr. | Aug-12 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Schlotz Cr. | Never | Jun-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Salmon Trout R. (Houghton Co.) | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mud Lake Outlet | Oct-73 | Jul-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Graveraet R. | Aug-63 | Sep-14 | --- | Yes | 33,979 | 2,436 | 2015 |
| Elm R. | Jul-07 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Misery R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Jul-11 | Aug-12 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Barrier upstream | Sep-00 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| East Sleeping R. | Jul-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| West Sleeping R. | Jun-14 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Firesteel R. | Oct-11 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015^{1}$ |
| Ontonagon R. | Oct-12 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 261,578 | 18,934 | 2015 |
| Potato R. | Jun-14 | Aug-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Floodwood R. | Never | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cranberry R. <br> (Ontonagon Co.) | Jun-14 | Aug-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mineral R. | Jun-14 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Iron R. | Never | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Iron R. | Sep-75 | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Union R. | May-64 | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Black R. | Jul-10 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Montreal R. | Jul-75 | Aug-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Washington Cr. | Jun-80 | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bad R. | Oct-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Fish Cr. (Eileen Twp) | Jul-10 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 34,388 | 7,476 | 2015 |
| Sioux R. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | -- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pikes Cr. | Never | Jul-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Red Cliff Cr. | Sep-11 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 3,041 | 507 | Unknown |
| Raspberry R. | Jun-63 | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sand R. | Sep-11 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 2,530 | 0 | Unknown |
| Cranberry R. (Bayfield Co.) | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Iron R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Barrier upstream | Oct-64 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Reefer Cr . | Oct-64 | Sep-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Fish Cr. (Orienta Twp) | Oct-64 | Aug-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 11. continued.


Table 12. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested lentic areas of Lake Superior during 2014.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last Surveyed | Last Survey Showing Infestation | Last <br> Treated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |
| Goulais R. | Goulais Bay | Jul-08 | Jul-08 | Aug-85 |
| Havilland Cr. | Havilland Bay | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Stokely Cr. | Havilland Bay | Jun-13 | Jul-09 | Aug-11 |
| Harmony R. | Batchawana Bay | Jul-14 | Jun-13 | Aug-14 |
| Chippewa R. | Batchawana Bay | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Aug-11 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Batchawana R. | Batchawana Bay | Sep-14 | Jul-14 | Jul-14 |
| Carp R. | Batchawana Bay | Oct-12 | Oct-12 | Aug-07 |
| Agawa R. | Agawa Bay | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Aug-10 |
| Michipicoten R. (Lower) | Marina Area | Aug-12 | Aug-12 | Aug-14 |
| Gravel R. | Mountain Bay | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Aug-13 |
| Little Cypress R. | Cypress Bay | Aug-78 | Aug-78 | Never |
| Cypress R. | Cypress Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Oct-11 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Jackpine R. | Nipigon Bay | Jul-02 | Jul-89 | Never |
| Jackfish R. | Nipigon Bay | Jul-07 | Aug-05 | Never |
| Nipigon R. | Helen Lake | Aug-12 | Aug-12 | Aug-14 |
| Nipigon R. (Lower) | Nipigon Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Oct-11 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Nipigon R. | Stillwater | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Aug-13 |
| Nipigon R. | Polly Lake | Jun-12 | Jul-90 | Jul-87 |
| Big Trout Cr. | Nipigon Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Oct-11 |
| Black Sturgeon R. | Black Bay | Aug-11 | Jul-04 | Never |
| Wolf R. | Black Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| MacKenzie R. | MacKenzie Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Aug-14 |
| Current R. | Thunder Bay | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Aug-14 |
| Neebing-McIntyre Floodway | Thunder Bay | Aug-14 | Jul-90 | Never |
| Kaministiquia R. (Lower) | Thunder Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Oct-13 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Pigeon R. | Pigeon Bay | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Aug-10 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |
| Pendills Cr. | Tahquamenon Bay | Jul-12 | Jul-12 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Grants Cr. | Tahquamenon Bay | Jul-13 | Jul-13 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Ankodosh Cr. | Tahquamenon Bay | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Jul-11 |
| Halfaday Cr. | Tahquamenon Bay | Jul-12 | Jul-12 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Roxbury Cr | Tahquamenon Bay | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Galloway Cr. | Tahquamenon Bay | Jun-13 | Jul-88 | Never |
| Sucker R. | Grand Marais Harbor | Sep-09 | Aug-90 | Never |
| Carpenter Cr. | West Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Sep-12 |
| Beaver Lake Cr. | Beaver Lake | Sep-10 | Sep-10 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Anna R. | Munising Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Aug-11 |
| Miners R. | Miners Lake | Sep-13 | Sep-13 | Jun-11 |
| Furnace Cr. | Furnace Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Aug-10 |
|  | Furnace Lake - Outlet Furnace Lake - | Jun-12 | Jun-12 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Offshore Hanson Cr. <br> Furnace Lake Offshore Gongeau Cr. | Aug-09 Aug-09 | Aug-09 Aug-09 | Never ${ }^{2}$ ( ${ }^{\text {Never }}{ }^{2}$ |

Table 12. continued.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last Surveyed | Last Survey Showing Infestation | Last <br> Treated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Five Mile Cr. | Offshore mouth | Aug-11 | Aug-11 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Carp R. | Offshore mouth | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Dead R. | Presque Isle Harbor | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Jul-12 |
| Harlow Cr. | Harlow Lake Offshore Bismark Cr. | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Little Garlic R. | Little Garlic R. | Sep-11 | Sep-11 | Jul-12 |
| Garlic R. | Garlic R. offshore mouth | Jul-12 | Sep-05 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Saux Head Lake | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Ravine R. | Huron Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Jun-12 |
| Slate R. | Huron Bay | Jul-13 | Jul-13 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Silver R. | Huron Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Aug-11 |
| Falls R. | Huron Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Jun-12 |
| Trap Rock R. | Torch Lake | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Aug-13 |
| Eliza Cr. | Eagle Harbor | Jul-03 | Sep-78 | Never |
| Mineral R. | Offshore mouth | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Black R. | Black River Harbor | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Sep-14 |
| Fish Cr. (Eileen Twp.) | Chequamegon Bay | Jun-10 | Aug-06 | Never ${ }^{2}$ |
| Red Cliff Cr. | Buffalo Bay | Aug-11 | Jun-97 | Never |
| Sand R. (Bayfield Twp.) | Sand Bay | Aug-11 | Aug-11 | Aug-10 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Amnicon R. | Superior Bay | Aug-12 | Aug-12 | Never |

Table 13. Details on application of granular Bayluscide to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Superior for larval assessment purposes during 2014.

| Tributary | Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ | Area Surveyed (ha) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |
| Haviland Cr. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Harmony R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Chippewa R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Batchawana R. (lentic) | 3.36 | 0.6 |
| Batchawana R. (lotic) | 0.84 | 0.15 |
| Pancake R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Agawa R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Old Woman R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Pic R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Pic R. (lotic) | 1.96 | 0.35 |
| Steel R. (lentic) | 0.84 | 0.15 |
| Cypress R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Jackfish R. (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Jackfish R. (lotic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Nipigon R. (lotic) | 3.36 | 0.60 |
| Big Trout Cr. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Black Sturgeon R. (lotic) | 0.84 | 0.15 |
| Wolf R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Pearl R. (lotic) | 1.96 | 0.35 |
| MacKenzie R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Neebing-McIntrye Floodway (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Kaministiquia R. (lotic) | 5.60 | 1.00 |
| Total (Canada) | 40.6 | 7.25 |
| United States |  |  |
| Waiska R. (lotic) | 1.07 | 0.19 |
| Carpenter Cr. (lentic) | 3.52 | 0.63 |
| Anna R. (lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Furnace Cr. (lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Five Mile Cr. (lentic) | 0.58 | 0.10 |
| Carp R. (lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Dead R. (lentic) | 2.90 | 0.52 |
| Harlow River (Harlow Lake lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Garlic R. (Saux Head Lake lentic) | 2.61 | 0.47 |
| Huron R. (lotic) | 0.87 | 0.16 |
| Ravine R. (lentic) | 4.64 | 0.83 |
| Silver R. (lentic) | 5.23 | 0.93 |
| Falls R. (lentic) | 4.35 | 0.78 |
| Trap Rock R. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Deer Lake Outlet (lotic) | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| East Sleeping R. (lotic) | 0.29 | 0.05 |
| Ontonagon R. (lotic) | 1.45 | 0.26 |
| Floodwood R. (lotic) | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| Black R. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Black R. (lotic) | 2.03 | 0.36 |
| Sioux R. (lotic) | 0.87 | 0.15 |
| Nemadji R. (lotic) | 2.21 | 0.39 |
| St. Louis R. (lotic) | 6.96 | 1.24 |
| Total (United States) | 51.48 | 9.19 |
| Total for Lake | 92.08 | 16.44 |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

## Lake Michigan

- Larval assessment surveys were conducted on 172 tributaries and 17 lentic areas. The status of larval Sea Lamprey populations in historically infested Lake Michigan tributaries and lentic areas is presented in Tables 14 and 15.
- Surveys to estimate the abundance of larval Sea Lampreys were conducted in 19 tributaries.
- Surveys to detect the presence of new larval Sea Lamprey populations were conducted in 91 tributaries. A special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin to enhance Sea Lamprey control in Wisconsin waters led to additional surveys being conducted in 76 streams that had no history of infestation and had not been recently surveyed (some $>25$ years). One new Sea Lamprey population was discovered in Silver Creek (Kewaunee County; n=4, 99-138mm). The population was localized in the mouth of the stream and no infestation was detected upstream in the system. The stream was not ranked for treatment but will continue to be monitored. Based on survey observations, negative streams with moderate to high potential for future infestation will be a higher priority for future assessments.
- Post-treatment assessments were conducted in 41 tributaries and 3 lentic areas to determine the effectiveness of lampricide treatments during 2013 and 2014. The Whitefish River and Mattix and Marblehead creeks ranked for treatment again in 2015 based on the presence of residual Sea Lampreys.
- An evaluation of larval and juvenile Sea Lamprey production potential was completed on Grand River tributaries upstream of 6th Street Dam. The purpose of the work was to evaluate the production potential of Sea Lampreys upstream from critical barriers by quantitatively assessing larval habitat and native lamprey abundances as a surrogate for Sea Lampreys. Results from the study are pending.
- Surveys to collect larval Sea Lampreys for pheromone extraction were conducted in one tributary.
- Service staff assisted in field studies conducted by the USGS Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center (UMESC) to determine the concentrationof niclosamide (2', 5-dichloro-4'nitrosalicylanilide) in sediment (sand and silt) and in the water column following the application of GB off the mouths of Hog Island Creek (Mackinaw County, Michigan) and Peshtigo River (Marinette County, Wisconsin).
- Larval assessment surveys were conducted in non-wadable lentic and lotic areas using 45.12 kg (active ingredient) of GB. Survey details are provided in Table 16.

Table 14. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in Lake Michigan tributaries with a history of Sea Lamprey production and estimates of abundance from tributaries surveyed during 2014.

| Tributary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { Treated } \end{gathered}$ | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval Lamprey Population (surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next <br> Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brevort R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | May-12 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lower | Aug-13 | Jul-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Paquin Cr. | Oct-87 | Apr-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Davenport Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hog Island Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | $2017{ }^{2}$ |
| Sucker R. | Jun-61 | Sep-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Black R. | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | $2016{ }^{2}$ |
| Mattix Cr. | Sep-13 | Jul-14 | Yes | --- | 310 | 89 | 2015 |
| Mile Cr. | Oct-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Millecoquins R. | Sep-13 | Jul-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | $2016{ }^{2}$ |
| Rock R. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Crow R. | Aug-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cataract R. | Sep-13 | Jul-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pt. Patterson Cr. | Jul-13 | Jul-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hudson Cr. | Jul-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Swan Cr. | Jul-13 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Seiners Cr. | May-84 | May-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Milakokia R. | Jul-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | $2016{ }^{2}$ |
| Bulldog Cr . | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Gulliver Lake Outlet | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Marblehead Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | --- | 508 | 297 | 2015 |
| Manistique R. | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Southtown Cr. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Thompson Cr. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Johnson Cr. | Jun-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Deadhorse Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | --- | 44 | 44 | Unknown |
| Gierke Cr. | Never | May-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bursaw Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Parent Cr. | Jul-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Poodle Pete Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Valentine Cr. | May-12 | Jul-12 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Fishdam R. | May-01 | Apr-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Fishdam R. | Sep-11 | Jul-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sturgeon R. | Aug-13 | Oct-14 | Yes | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Ogontz R. | Sep-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2018{ }^{2}$ |
| Squaw Cr. | May-12 | Jun-12 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hock Cr. | May-81 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 195 | 0 | Unknown |
| Whitefish R. | Jun-13 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 265,988 | 30,686 | 2015 |
| Rapid R. | May-12 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{2}$ |
| Tacoosh R. | Oct-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 14. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | al Lamprey ation ast treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Aug-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Barrier upstream | Oct-11 | Jul-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Portage Cr. | Oct-09 | May-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ford R. | Oct-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2017{ }^{2}$ |
| Sunnybrook Cr. | May-71 | Jun-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bark R. | Oct-11 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 9,254 | 3,365 | 2015 |
| Cedar R. | Oct-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2017{ }^{2}$ |
| Sugar Cr. | May-08 | Aug-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Arthur Bay Cr. | Jun-10 | Jul-13 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rochereau Cr. | Apr-63 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Johnson Cr. | May-10 | Aug-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bailey Cr. | Apr-09 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 118 | 118 | $2015{ }^{3}$ |
| Beattie Cr. | May-09 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 437 | 146 | 2015 |
| Springer Cr. | Apr-13 | Jul-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Menominee R. | Jun-07 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little R. | Aug-77 | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Peshtigo R. | Oct-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{4}$ |
| Oconto R. | May-12 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 186,545 | 114,545 | 2015 |
| Pensaukee R. | Nov-77 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Suamico R. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ephraim Cr. | Apr-63 | Jun-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hibbards Cr. | May-07 | May-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Whitefish Bay Cr. | May-87 | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Shivering Sands Cr. | Apr-12 | May-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lilly Bay Cr. | Apr-63 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bear Cr. | May-75 | Jun-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Door Co. 23 Cr. | May-07 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver Creek | Never | May-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ahnapee R. | Apr-64 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Three Mile Cr. | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | 387 | 193 | Unknown |
| Kewaunee R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | May-75 | May-12 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Barrier upstream | May-75 | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Casco Cr. | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Scarboro Cr. | May-75 | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| East Twin R. | Oct-08 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 531 | 0 | Unknown |
| Fischer Cr. | May-87 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| French Farm Cr. | Never | Jun-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Carp Lake Outlet | Sep-13 | Oct-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Big Stone Cr. | Sep-13 | Oct-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Sucker R. | Sep-13 | Sep-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Wycamp Lake Outlet | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bear R. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 14. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | al Lamprey tion ast treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horton Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | - | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Boyne R. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Porter Cr. | Sep-13 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Jordan R. | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Monroe Cr. | Aug-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Loeb Cr. | Aug-13 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| McGeach Cr. | Oct-99 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Elk Lake Outlet | Jul-11 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Tobeco Creek | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Yuba Cr. | May-06 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Acme Cr. | Aug-63 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mitchell Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Boardman R. (lower) | Jun-09 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 948 | 948 | 2015 |
| Boardman R. (mid.) | Oct-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 5,536 | 2,768 | 2015 |
| Hospital Creek | Jun-09 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 1,455 | 146 | 2015 |
| Leo Cr. | Never | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ennis Creek | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Northport Creek | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Leland River | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Good Harbor Cr. | Jul-10 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Crystal R. | Nov-11 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Platte R. (upper) | Jun-14 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Platte R. (middle) | Jun-14 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Platte R. (lower) | Jun-14 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Betsie R. | Jul-14 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Bowen Cr. | Jun-09 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Manistee R. | Aug-13 | Oct-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Bear Cr. | Jul-13 | Jul-13 | --- | --- | --- | - | 2016 |
| L. Manistee R. | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Gurney Cr. | Aug-09 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 5,145 | 0 | 2016 |
| Cooper Cr. | Jul-08 | Jun-11 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lincoln R. | Jun-14 | Oct-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Pere Marquette R. | Sep-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Bass Lake Outlet | Aug-78 | Sep-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pentwater R. (N. Br.) | Jul-13 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| South Branch | Never | Oct-09 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lambricks Cr. | Sep-84 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Stony Cr. | Jun-10 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Flower Cr. | Jun-11 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| White R. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | -- | -- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Duck Cr. | Jul-84 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Muskegon R. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Brooks Cr. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Cedar Cr. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | - | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Bridgeton Cr . | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |

Table 14. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of L Po (surveys since Residuals Present | al Lamprey ation <br> ast treatment) <br> Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnie Cr. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Bigelow Cr. | Sep-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Big Bear Cr. | Aug-70 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mosquito Cr. | Sep-68 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Black Cr. | Aug-08 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grand R. | Never | Jul-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Norris Cr. | Aug-08 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lowell Cr | Sep-65 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Buck Cr. | Sep-65 | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rush Cr. | Sep-65 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sand Cr. | Jun-07 | Jun-13 | --- | No | -- | --- | Unknown |
| Crockery Cr. | Jul-12 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Bass R. | Aug-04 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rogue R. | Sep-09 | Oct-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pigeon R. | Oct-64 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine Cr. | Oct-64 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Gibson Cr. | Jul-84 | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Kalamazoo R. | Oct-65 | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bear Cr. | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | -- | -- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sand Cr. | Sep-10 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Mann Cr. | Oct-12 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Rabbit R. | Aug-08 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 5,747 | 3,861 | 2015 |
| Swan Cr. | Jul-13 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Allegan 3 Cr . | Sep-65 | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Allegan 4 Cr . | Oct-78 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Allegan 5 Cr . | Never | Jul-14 | --- | Yes | 190 | 190 | 2015 |
| Allegan 6 Cr . | Never | Jul-14 | -- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Black R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Branch | Jun-77 | Sep-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Middle Branch | May-14 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | 9,573 | 3,191 | 2015 |
| South Branch | Never | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Brandywine Cr. | Aug-85 | Sep-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rogers Cr. | May-98 | Sep-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| St. Joseph R. | Never | Jul-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lemon Cr. | Oct-65 | Sep-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pipestone Cr. | May-14 | Oct-14 | No | -- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Meadow Dr. | Oct-65 | Sep-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hickory Cr. | Oct-65 | Sep-13 | --- | Yes | 47,875 | 5,440 | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Paw Paw R. | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Blue Cr . | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Mill Cr. | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Brandywine Cr. | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Brush Cr. | Jun-12 | Sep-14 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Hayden Cr. | Jun-12 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015^{1}$ |
| Campbell Cr. | Jun-12 | Sep-12 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |

Table 14. continued.

| Tributary | LastTreated | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| Galien R. (N. Br.) | Oct-10 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 978 | 978 | 2016 |
| E. Br. \& Dowling Cr. | Oct-10 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| S. Br. \& Galina Cr. | Oct-12 | Sep-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Spring Cr. | Oct-12 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| S. Br. Spring Cr. | Oct-12 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| State Cr. | Apr-14 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2014 |
| Trail Cr. | Apr-14 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2014 |
| Donns Cr. | May-66 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Burns Ditch | Jul-99 | Oct-14 | --- | Yes | 25,577 | 23,320 | 2015 |

${ }^{1}$ Stream being treated based on next large scale treatment
${ }^{2}$ Stream being treated based on expert judgement
${ }^{3}$ Stream being treated based on geographic efficiency

Table 15. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested lentic areas of Lake Michigan during 2014.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last Surveyed | Last Survey Showing Infestation | Last Treated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brevort R. | Brevort Lake (Silver Cr. - Offshore) | Aug-13 | Jul-08 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Brevort Lake (L. Brevort R. - Offshore) | Aug-13 | Aug-74 | Never |
| Paquin Cr . | Paquin Cr. (Offshore) | Jul-08 | Jul-08 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Hog Island Cr . | Hog Island Cr. (Offshore) | Jun-14 | Sep-12 | Jun-07 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Black R. | Black R. (Offshore) | Sep-14 | Aug-11 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Mile Cr. | Mile Cr. (Offshore) | Jun-08 | Jun-08 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Millecoquins R. | Millecoquins Lake (Cold Cr. - Offshore) | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Milakokia R. | Seul Choix Bay | Jun-14 | Aug-80 | Never |
| Manistique R. | Manistique R. (Offshore) | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Oct-14 |
| Deadhorse Cr. | Deadhorse Cr. (Offshore) | Jul-11 | Oct-64 | Never |
| Bursaw Cr. | Bursaw Cr. (Offshore) | Jul-11 | Jul-11 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Valentine Cr. | Big Bay De Noc | Sep-11 | Aug-94 | Never |
| Ogontz R. | Big Bay De Noc | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Sep-14 |
| Whitefish R. | Little Bay De Noc | Jun-13 | Aug-93 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Rapid R. | Little Bay De Noc | Jul-14 | Jun-13 | Jun-12 |
| Days R. | Little Bay De Noc | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | Aug-14 |
| Escanaba R. | Little Bay De Noc | Aug-10 | Jul-06 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Portage Cr. | Portage Bay | Jul-84 | Aug-82 | Never |
| Ford R. | Green Bay | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Oct-14 |
| Sunny Br. | Green Bay | Sep-82 | Aug-81 | Never |
| Bark R. | Green Bay | Jul-11 | Sep-98 | Never |
| Cedar R. | Green Bay | Jul-13 | Jul-13 | Jun-10 |
| Beattie Cr. | Green Bay | Jul-08 | Jul-85 | Never |
| Menominee R. | Green Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Peshtigo R. | Green Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never |
| Bear R. | Little Traverse Bay | Jun-14 | Jun-08 | May-07 |
| Horton Cr. | Horton Bay (Lake Charlevoix) | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Sep-13 |
| Boyne R. | Boyne Harbor (Lake Charlevoix) | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Jun-13 |
| Porter Cr. | Lake Charlevoix | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Sep-13 |
| Jordan R. | Lake Charlevoix | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Jul-14 |
| Monroe Cr. | Lake Charlevoix | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Aug-13 |
| Mitchell Cr. | Grand Traverse Bay (East Arm) | May-04 | May-04 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Boardman R. | Grand Traverse Bay (West Arm) | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Jun-12 |
| Leland R. | Leland R. (Offshore) | Jun-1 | Jun-13 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Platte R. | Loon Lake | Sep-08 | Sep-08 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Platte Lake | Sep-08 | Jul-03 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Betsie R. | Betsie Lake | May-08 | Aug-83 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Big Manistee R. | Manistee Lake (Big Manistee - Offshore) | Jul-08 | Jul-08 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Manistee Lake (Little Manistee - Offshore) | Jul-08 | Jul-08 | Jul-08 |

[^3]Table 16. Details on application of granular Bayluscide to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Michigan for larval assessment purposes during 2014.

| Tributary | Bayluscide (kg) $^{1}$ | Area Surveyed (ha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hog Island R. (lentic) | 2.90 | 0.52 |
| Black R. (lentic) | 0.58 | 0.10 |
| Millecoquins R. (lentic) | 2.23 | 0.41 |
| Millecoquins Lake (lentic) | 2.23 | 0.41 |
| Milakokia R. (lentic) | 1.16 | 0.21 |
| Manistique R. (lentic) | 6.96 | 1.24 |
| Ogontz R. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Whitefish R. (Trout Lake lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Rapid R. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Menominee R. (lotic) | 4.64 | 0.83 |
| Menominee R. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Peshtigo R. (lentic) | 1.16 | 0.21 |
| Bear R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Horton Cr. (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Boyne R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Porter Cr. (lentic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Jordan R. (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Elk Lake Outlet (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Boardman R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Boardman R. (Boardman Lake lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Leland R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Muskegon R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Rabbit R. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Total for Lake | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1 2}$ |  |
| Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient. |  | $\mathbf{8 . 0 7}$ |

## Lake Huron

- Larval assessment surveys were conducted on 99 tributaries (49 Canada, 50 U.S.) and 19 lentic areas ( 10 Canada, 9 U.S.). The status of larval Sea Lamprey populations in historically infested Lake Huron tributaries and lentic areas are presented in Tables 17 and 18.
- Surveys to estimate abundance of larval Sea Lampreys were conducted in 19 tributaries (8 Canada, 11 U.S.) and 5 lentic areas (4 Canada, 1 U.S.).
- Surveys to detect the presence of new larval Sea Lamprey populations were conducted in 30 tributaries (14 Canada, 16 U.S.). No new populations were detected.
- Post-treatment assessments were conducted in 12 tributaries (6 Canada, 6 U.S.) to determine the effectiveness of lampricide treatments during 2013 and 2014. Hughson and Carlton creeks and Echo River (lentic) ranked for treatment again in 2015 based on the presence of residual Sea Lampreys.
- Surveys to evaluate barrier effectiveness in six tributaries (four Canada, two U.S.) indicated no evidence of escapement.
- Monitoring of larval Sea Lampreys in the St. Marys River continued during 2014. Approximately 880 geo-referenced sites were sampled using DWEF. Surveys were conducted according to a stratified, systematic sampling design. The larval Sea Lamprey population in the St. Marys River was estimated to be 1.1 million ( $95 \%$ confidence limits $0.7-1.4$ million).
- An evaluation of larval and juvenile Sea Lamprey production potential was completed on the Saginaw River. The purpose of the work was to evaluate the production potential of Sea Lamprey upstream from critical barriers by quantitatively assessing larval habitat and native lamprey abundances as a surrogate for Sea Lampreys. Results from the study are pending.
- Larval assessment surveys were conducted in non-wadable lentic and lotic areas using 44.25 kg (active ingredient, 22.82 Canada, 21.49 U.S.) of GB. Survey details are provided in Table 19.

Table 17. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in Lake Huron tributaries with a history of Sea Lamprey production and estimates of abundance from tributaries surveyed during 2014.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of P (surveys si Residuals Present | val Lamprey ation ast treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Marys R. | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 600,000 | --- | 2015 |
| Root R. | Sep-14 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Garden R. | Sep-14 | Jul-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Echo R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Oct-99 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lower | Jul-11 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bar \& Iron Cr. | Nov-12 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bar R. | Oct-11 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sucker Cr. | Apr-12 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Two Tree R. | May-10 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 982 | 982 | 2015 |
| Richardson Cr. | Aug-11 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Watson Cr. | May-10 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 535 | 535 | 2015 |
| Gordon Cr. | Sep-11 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Browns Cr. | Sep-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Koshkawong R. | Apr-12 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | 2,884 | 96 | $2015{ }^{2}$ |
| No Name (H-65) | Jun-13 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| No Name (H-68) | Sep-75 | Apr-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| MacBeth Cr. | Jun-67 | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Thessalon R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Aug-11 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lower | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Livingstone Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mississagi R. | Aug-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Blind R. | May-84 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lauzon R. | Jun-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 7,108 | 3,275 | 2015 |
| Spragge Cr. | Oct-95 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| No Name (H-114) | Jun-11 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 408 | 0 | $2015{ }^{2}$ |
| Marcellus Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Serpent R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main | Jun-12 | Sep-12 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grassy Cr. | Jun-11 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Spanish R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main | Sep-11 | Sep-12 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Lacloche Cr. | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Birch Cr. | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Kagawong R. | Aug-67 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Unnamed (H-267) | May-11 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver Cr. | May-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 6,462 | 0 | 2016 |
| Sand Cr. | Oct-11 | Jul-12 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Mindemoya R. | Jun-11 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Timber Bay Cr. | May-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |

Table 17. continued.

| Tributary | Last <br> Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| Hughson Cr. | Aug-13 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 11,068 | 1,614 | 2015 |
| Manitou R. | Aug-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Blue Jay Cr. | Jun-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Kaboni Cr. | Oct-78 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Chikanishing R. | Jun-03 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| French R. System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O.V. Channel | Jun-12 | Jul-09 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Wanapitei R. | Jun-11 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Key R. (Nesbit Cr.) | Sep-72 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Still R. | Jun-96 | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Magnetawan R. | Jun-11 | Sep-14 | No | No | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Naiscoot R. | May-13 | Jun-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Shebeshekong R. | Never | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Boyne R. | May-13 | Jun-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Musquash R. | Aug-13 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| McDonald Cr. | Never | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Simcoe/Severn | Never | May-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Coldwater R. | Never | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sturgeon R. | Apr-12 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hog Cr. | Sep-78 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lafontaine Cr. | Jun-68 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Nottawasaga R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main | May-13 | May-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Boyne R. | May-13 | Jul-11 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bear Cr. | Jun-13 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine R. | Jun-13 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Marl Cr. | Apr-13 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pretty R. | May-72 | Apr-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver Cr. | Sep-82 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bighead R. | Jun-12 | May-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Bothwells Cr. | Jun-79 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sydenham R. | Jun-72 | May-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sauble R. | Jun-04 | Jun-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Saugeen R. | Jun-71 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bayfield R. | Jun-70 | May-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sauble R. | Jun-04 | May-09 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Saugeen R. | Jun-71 | May-10 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bayfield R. | Jun-70 | Jun-10 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mission Cr. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Frenchette Cr. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ermatinger Cr. | Never | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Charlotte R. | Oct-11 | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 17. continued.

| Tributary | Last <br> Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval Lamprey Population (surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| Little Munuscong R. | Oct-10 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 19,697 | 0 | 2016 |
| Big Munuscong R. | Jun-99 | Jun-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Taylor Cr. | Oct-11 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 36,848 | 2,457 | 2015 |
| Carlton Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 1,412 | 471 | 2015 |
| Canoe Lake Outlet | May-70 | Apr-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Caribou Cr. | Jun-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bear Lake Outlet | Jun-11 | May-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Carr Cr. | Jun-13 | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Joe Straw Cr. | Jun-13 | Aug-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Huron Point Cr. | Jun-13 | Jul-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Saddle Cr. <br> Albany Cr. | Never | Oct-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Barrier downstream | Apr-11 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 3,584 | 448 | 2015 |
| Barrier upstream | Jul-07 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Boiling Springs Cr. | Never | Apr-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Trout Cr. | Oct-10 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 2,435 | 812 | 2015 |
| Beavertail Cr. | May-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Prentiss Cr. | May-11 | Sep-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| McKay Cr. | May-11 | May-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Susan Cr. | Never | Apr-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Flowers Cr. | Jun-13 | Apr-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ceville Cr. | Jun-13 | Aug-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hessel Cr. | May-11 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 3,580 | 462 | 2015 |
| Law Cr. | Never | Oct-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Steeles Cr. <br> Nunns Cr. | May-11 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Barrier downstream | Aug-13 | Aug-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Nunns Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier upstream | May-96 | Sep-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine R. | Jun-14 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| McCloud Cr. | Oct-72 | May-14 | --- | Yes | 352 | 282 | 2015 |
| Carp R. | Jun-14 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Martineau Cr. | Jun-12 | Jul-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hoban Cr. | Jun-12 | Apr-13 | No | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rogers Cr. | Never | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sec. 7 Cr . | Never | May-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| 266-20 Cr. | Aug-76 | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Beaugrand Cr. | Never | Jul-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Black R. | May-67 | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cheboygan R. | Oct-83 | Sept-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mullett Cr. | Never | Jun-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Laperell Cr. | May-00 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 17. continued.

| Tributary | Last <br> Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| Meyers Cr. | Sep-99 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Maple R. | Sep-12 | May-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Pigeon R. | Aug-12 | May-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Little Pigeon R. | Aug-12 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sturgeon R. | Sep-12 | May-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Little Sturgeon R. | Never | Sep-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Elliot Cr. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Greene Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Jul-12 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Barrier upstream | Jun-07 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grass Cr. | May-78 | Apr-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mulligan Cr. | Jul-12 | Sep-14 | Yes | Yes | 2,030 | 0 | 2016 |
| Grace Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Black Mallard Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | Apr-12 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Upper | Apr-12 | Jun-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Seventeen Cr. | Jul-12 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Uknown |
| Ocqueoc R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier downstream | Aug-12 | Sep-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Barrier upstream | Oct-14 | Aug-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Johnny Cr. | Sep-70 | Sep-11 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Schmidt Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | May-13 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Upper | May-08 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Nagels Cr. | Never | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Trout R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrier Downstream | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Barrier upstream | Oct-07 | Jun-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Swan R. | Jun-10 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grand Lake Outlet | Never | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Middle Lake Outlet | Jun-67 | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Long Lake Outlet | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Squaw Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Devils R. | Oct-14 | Aug-13 | ---- | ---- | --- | --- | 2018 |
| Black R. | May-11 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | 100,843 | 13,269 | 2015 |
| Butternut Cr. | May-11 | Aug-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Au Sable R. | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Pine R. | May-87 | Sep-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Tawas Lake Outlet | Jul-09 | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | 6,349 | 5,771 | 2015 |
| Cold Cr. | Jul-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Sims Cr. | Jul-09 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grays Cr. | Sep-05 | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver Cr. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2015 |

Table 17. continued.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last <br> Surveyed | Status of Larval LampreyPopulation(surveys since last treatment) |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of <br> Larvae <br> $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residuals Present | Recruitment Evident |  |  |  |
| East Au Gres R. | Jul-13 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Au Gres R. | Apr-14 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Rifle R. | Aug-14 | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Saginaw R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cass R. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 43,921 | 17,214 | 2015 |
| Juniata Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Scott Drain | Jun-08 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Goodings Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Perry Creek | Never | Sep-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| West Wells Cr. | May-12 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Flint River | Never | Sep-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Armstrong Cr. | Never | Sep-14 | --- | Yes | 355 | 355 | 2015 |
| Tittabawassee R. | Never | Sep-08 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Chippewa R. | May-14 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Coldwater R. | May-14 | Apr-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine R. | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | 79,678 | 46,129 | 2015 |
| Little Salt Cr. | May-14 | Sep-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Salt Cr. | May-14 | Sep-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| North Br. | May-14 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Carroll Cr. | May-14 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Salt R. | May-10 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | 13,581 | 9,054 | 2015 |
| Bluff Cr. | May-10 | Sep-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Shiawassee R. | May-13 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Rock Falls Cr. | Never | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sucker Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cherry Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mill Cr. | May-85 | Sep-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |

[^4]Table 18. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested lentic areas of Lake Huron during 2014.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last Surveyed | Last Survey Showing Infestation | Last Treated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |
| Echo River | Echo Lake | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Sep-13 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Solar Lake | Jul-06 | May-90 | Jul-87 |
|  | Stuart Lake | May-90 | May-90 | Jul-80 |
| Sucker Cr. | Desjardins Bay | Jun-13 | Jun-13 | Jul-84 |
| Two Tree R. | North Channel | Aug-81 | Aug-81 | Never |
| Gordon Cr. | Tenby Bay | Aug-91 | Aug-91 | Jul-84 |
| Brown's Cr. | Tenby Bay | Aug-13 | Aug-91 | Aug-87 |
| Koshkawong R. | North Channel | Aug-91 | Aug-91 | Never |
| Unnamed (H-68) | North Channel | Apr-12 | May-95 | Never |
| Mississagi R. | North Channel | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Jul-81 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Lauzon R. | North Channel | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Unnamed (H-114) | North Channel | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Jul-10 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Kagawong R. | Mudge Bay | May-11 | Jul-90 | Aug-87 |
| Mindemoya R. | Providence Bay | May-12 | Jul-88 | Jul-81 |
| Manitou R. | Michael's Bay | Jul-13 | Jul-13 | Oct-12 |
| Blue Jay Cr. | Michael's Bay | Jul-13 | Jul-10 | Aug-87 |
| Still R. | Byng Inlet | Jun-13 | Jul-13 | Jun-12 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |
| Caribou Cr. | Caribou Cr. (Offshore) | Aug-09 | Jul-13 | Jun-10 |
| Albany Cr. | Albany Bay (Offshore) | Jul-14 | Jul-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Trout Cr. | Trout Cr. (Offshore) | Jul-14 | Jul-11 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Beavertail Cr. | Beavertail Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-07 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| McKay Cr. | McKay Bay | Jul-11 | Jul-11 | Jul-07 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Flowers Cr. | Flowers Bay | Jun-12 | Jul-80 | Never |
| Nunns Cr. | St. Martin Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-87 | Never |
| Pine R. | St. Martin Bay | Jun-12 | Jun-12 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| McCloud Cr. | St. Martin Bay | Jul-10 | Jul-10 | Never |
| Carp R. | St. Martin Bay | Jun-12 | Jun-12 | Jun-14 |
| Martineau Cr. | Horseshoe Bay | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Cheboygan R. | Straits of Mackinac | Jul-12 | Aug-93 | Never |
|  | Burt Lake (Sturgeon R.) | Aug-11 | Aug-98 | Never |
| Elliot Cr. | Duncan Bay | Jul-12 | Jul-12 | Never |
| Mulligan Cr. | Mulligan Cr. (Offshore) | Aug-14 | Jun-13 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| Black Mallard R. | Black Mallard Lake | Jul-12 | Jun-10 | Never |
| Hammond Bay Cr. | Hammond Bay | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Never |
| Ocqueoc R. | Hammond Bay | Sep-12 | Sep-86 | Never |
| Devils R. | Thunder Bay | Jun-09 | Aug-76 | Never |
| Au Sable R. | Au Sable R. (Offshore) | Sep-14 | Sep-14 | Never ${ }^{1}$ |
| East Au Gres R. | East Au Gres R. | May-07 | Jun-86 | Never |

Table 19. Details on application of granular Bayluscide to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Huron for larval assessment purposes during 2014.

| Tributary | Bayluscide (kg) $^{1}$ | Area Surveyed (ha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |
| Echo R. (Echo Lake lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Bar R. (lotic) | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| Twotree R. (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Mississagi R. (lentic) | 1.96 | 0.35 |
| Mississagi R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Lauzon R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Unnamed (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Silver Cr. (lentic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| French R. System (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Shawanaga R. (lotic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Shawanaga Landing Cr. (lotic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Seguin R. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Go Home R. (lotic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Musquash R. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Simcoe/Severn System (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Sturgeon R. (lentic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Nottawasaga R. (lotic) | 2.24 | 0.40 |
| Beaver R. (lentic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Saugeen R. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Little Current (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.30 |
| Total (Canada) | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 7}$ |
|  |  |  |
| United States |  |  |
| Charlotte R. (lotic) | 0.87 | 0.09 |
| Little Munuscong R. (lotic) | 0.41 | 0.07 |
| Albany Cr. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Trout Cr. (lentic) | 2.32 | 0.41 |
| Beavertail Cr. (lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Nunns Cr. (lentic) | 1.16 | 0.21 |
| Martineau Cr. (lentic) | 1.74 | 0.31 |
| Cheboygan R. (lotic) | 0.84 | 0.15 |
| Mulligan Cr. (lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Mulligan Cr. (lotic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Ocqueoc R. (Ocqueoc Lake lentic) | 1.12 | 0.20 |
| Hammond Bay Station Outlet (lentic) | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Au Sable R. (lentic) | 3.36 | 0.60 |
| Au Sable R. (lotic) | 3.37 | 0.60 |
| Total (United States) | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4 9}$ |  |
| Tampricide Luantities are reported in kg of active ingredient. |  |  |

## Lake Erie

The control agents continue to delineate the distribution and abundance of the larval Sea Lamprey population in the St. Clair River, hypothesized to be a primary source of feeding juveniles in Lake Erie. Results of these efforts are currently being evaluated and formulated into a plan that will identify further actions and strategies for Sea Lamprey control in this important interconnecting waterway.

Of critical importance to the ongoing larval Sea Lamprey assessments in the St. Clair River, a collaborative agreement between the Commission and Walpole Island First Nation (WIFN) enabled intensive DWEF to be completed in WIFN territorial waters during 2014 to provide quantitative information on larval Sea Lamprey habitat and densities. This year was the first of a two year assessment; favorable conditions and the availability of WIFN assistants resulted in a comprehensive evaluation of production potential for most of the waters adjacent to WIFN.

- Larval assessments were conducted on a total of 40 tributaries (15 Canada, 25 U.S.) and lentic area of 1 U.S. tributary. The status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested Lake Erie tributaries and lentic areas is presented in Tables 20 and 21.
- Surveys to estimate the abundance of larval Sea Lampreys were conducted in 10 tributaries (1 Canada, 9 U.S.).
- Surveys to detect new larval populations were conducted in 12 tributaries (4 Canada, 8 U.S.). A new population of large Sea Lamprey larvae was found in Big Sister Creek, New York. The stream ranked for treatment in 2015.
- Surveys to evaluate barrier effectiveness in six tributaries (four Canada, two U.S.) indicated no evidence of escapement.
- Post-treatment assessments were conducted in seven tributaries (five Canada, two U.S.) to determine the effectiveness of lampricide treatments during 2013 and 2014. Raccoon Creek ranked for treatment again in 2015 based on the presence of residual Sea Lampreys.
- An evaluation of larval and juvenile Sea Lamprey production potential was completed on the Grand River in Ontario. The purpose of the work was to evaluate the production potential of Sea Lamprey upstream from critical barriers by quantitatively assessing larval habitat and native lamprey abundance as a surrogate for Sea Lampreys. Results from the study are pending.
- A total of 5.5 ha of the St. Clair River were surveyed with GB, including the upper river and in U.S. waters of the three main delta channels. Annual sampling of index plots is used to monitor population trends in the river and detect new areas of infestation. The total catch of 55 Sea Lamprey was scattered throughout the river with a few high density areas.
- A total of 733 DWEF sites were assessed in St. Clair River, adjacent to WIFN, and 7 Sea Lamprey larvae were collected among sites. This information was combined with the remainder of the larval assessment data resulting in a river-wide population estimate of 919,509 larval Sea Lampreys.
- Surveys were conducted in non-wadable lentic and lotic areas using 27.78 kg (active ingredient; 7.28 Canada, 21.28 U.S.) of GB. Survey details are provided in Table 22.

Table 20. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in Lake Erie tributaries with a history of Sea Lamprey production, and estimates of abundance from tributaries surveyed during 2014.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | val Lamprey ation ast treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next <br> Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Cr. | Jun-87 | Jun-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Catfish Cr. | Jun-13 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Silver Cr. | Oct-09 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Otter Cr. | Sep-13 | Sep-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| South Otter Cr. | Aug-10 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Clear Cr. | May-91 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Cr. | Sep-13 | Jul-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Forestville Cr. | Aug-13 | May-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Normandale Cr. | Jun-87 | May-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Fishers Cr. | Jun-87 | Jun-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Young's Cr. | Aug-13 | May-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo R. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Buffalo Cr. | Jun-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cayuga Cr. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | 3,874 | 3,874 | 2015 |
| Cazenovia Cr. | Sept-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Sister Cr. |  |  | --- | Yes | 2,483 | 2,483 | 2015 |
| Delaware Cr. | Jun-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cattaraugus Cr. | Apr-13 | Oct-14 | Yes | Yes | 18,744 | 3,080 | 2016 |
| Halfway Br. | Oct-86 | Apr-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Canadaway Cr. | Oct-86 | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | 477 | 382 | 2015 |
| Chautauqua Cr. | Never | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Crooked Cr. | May-13 | Oct-14 | No | Yes | 1,757 | 98 | Unknown |
| Raccoon Cr. | May-13 | Jun-14 | Yes | Yes | 285 | 285 | 2015 |
| Conneaut Cr. | May-13 | Oct-14 | Yes | Yes | 4,579 | 4,121 | 2015 |
| Wheeler Cr. | Never | Jul-11 | --- | --- | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grand R. | Apr-13 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Chagrin R. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| St. Clair River/Lake St. Clair Tributaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black R. | Never | May-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mill Cr. | Never | May-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Pine R. | Apr-88 | Aug-14 | --- | No | 0 | 0 | Unknown |
| Belle R. | Never | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Clinton R. | Never | May-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Paint Cr . | Never | Sept-14 | --- | Yes | 3,584 | 3,584 | 2015 |
| St. Clair R. | Never | May-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Thames R. | Never | May-13 | --- | Yes | 1,599 | 738 | 2015 |

[^5]Table 21. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested lentic areas of Lake Erie during 2014.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last <br> Surveyed | Last Survey <br> Showing Infestation | Last <br> Treated |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | Sunset Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-12 | Never $^{1}$ |
| Cattaraugus Cr. | Conneaut Harbor | Jul-10 | Jul-06 | Never $^{1}$ |
| Conneaut Cr. | Fairport Harbor | Jul-10 | Jun-87 | Never $^{1}$ |
| Grand R. |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Low-density larval population monitored with $3.2 \%$ granular Bayluscide surveys.

Table 22. Details on application of granular Bayluscide to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Erie for larval assessment purposes during 2014.

| Tributary | Bayluscide (kg) ${ }^{1}$ | Area Surveyed (ha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |
| St. Clair R. (lotic) | 7.28 | 1.3 |
| Total (Canada) | $\mathbf{7 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |
|  |  |  |
| United States | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| Cattaraugus Cr. (lotic) | 20.72 | 3.7 |
| St. Clair R. (lotic) | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |
| Total (United States) | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total for Lake |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

## Lake Ontario

- Larval assessments were conducted on a total of 49 tributaries (27 Canada, 22 U.S.). The status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested Lake Ontario tributaries and lentic areas is presented in Tables 23 and 24.
- Surveys to estimate abundance of larval Sea Lampreys were conducted in nine tributaries (five Canada, four U.S.).
- Surveys to detect the presence of new larval Sea Lamprey populations were conducted in seven Canadian tributaries. No new populations were detected.
- Surveys to evaluate barrier effectiveness in 10 tributaries (8 Canada, 2 U.S.) indicated no evidence of escapement.
- Post-treatment assessments were conducted in 10 tributaries (4 Canada, 6 U.S.) to determine the effectiveness of lampricide treatments conducted during 2013 and 2014. Residual larvae were observed in low numbers, but no tributaries required re-treatment.
- An evaluation of production potential was conducted on the Humber River in Ontario, Canada. The purpose of this work was to evaluate the production potential for Sea Lamprey upstream from critical barriers by quantitatively assessing habitat and native lamprey populations as a surrogate for Sea Lampreys. Results from the evaluation are pending.
- A total of 1.7 ha of the Niagara River was surveyed with GB. Four larval Sea Lampreys were collected.
- Surveys were conducted in non-wadable lentic and lotic areas using 26.88 kg (active ingredient; 19.04 Canada, 7.84 U.S.) of GB. Survey details are provided in Table 25.

Table 23. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in Lake Ontario tributaries with a history of Sea Lamprey production and estimates of abundance from tributaries surveyed during 2014.

| Tributary | Last Treated | Last Surveyed | Status of Po (surveys sin Residuals Present | val Lamprey ation last treatment) Recruitment Evident | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Niagara R. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Ancaster Cr. | May-03 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Grindstone Cr. | Never | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Bronte Cr. | Jun-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Sixteen Mile Cr. | Jun-82 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Credit R. | Jun-14 | Jun-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Humber R. | Never | Jun-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rouge R. | Jun-11 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | 2,245 | 1,313 | 2015 |
| Petticoat Cr. | Sep-04 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Duffins Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Carruthers Cr. | Sep-76 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lynde Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Oshawa Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Farewell Cr. | Jun-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Bowmanville Cr. | May-14 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Wilmot Cr. | May-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Graham Cr. | May-96 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Wesleyville Cr. | Oct-02 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Port Britain Cr. | Apr-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Gage Cr. | May-71 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Cobourg Br. | Oct-96 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Covert Cr. | Jun-13 | Sep-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Grafton Cr. | May-14 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Shelter Valley Cr. | Sep-03 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Colborne Cr. | May-14 | Jul-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Salem Cr. | Apr-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Proctor Cr. | Apr-12 | Jul-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2015 |
| Smithfield Cr. Trent R. | Sep-86 | Jun-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| (Canal System) | Sep-11 | May-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Mayhew Cr. | Apr-12 | May-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Moira R. | Jun-11 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Salmon R. | Jun-00 | Jun-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Napanee R. | Never | Jul-13 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black R. | Aug-12 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Stony Cr. | Sep-82 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sandy Cr. | Never | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| South Sandy Cr. | Apr-13 | Jul-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Skinner Cr. | Apr-05 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Lindsey Cr. | Jun-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2017 |

Table 23. continued

| Tributary | Last <br> Treated | Last Surveyed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Status of Larval Lamprey } \\ \text { Population } \\ \text { (surveys since last treatment) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate of Overall Larval Population | Abundance <br> Estimate of Larvae $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Expected Year of Next Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blind Cr. | May-76 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Sandy Cr. | May-13 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Deer Cr. | Apr-04 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Salmon R. | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Orwell Brook | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | No | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Trout Brook | May-14 | Aug-14 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2017 |
| Grindstone Cr. | Apr-13 | Aug-13 | Yes | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Snake Cr. | Aug-14 | Aug-12 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Sage Cr. | May-78 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Little Salmon R. | Apr-12 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Butterfly Cr. | May-72 | Apr-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Catfish Cr. | Apr-12 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Oswego R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Cr. | May-81 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Big Bay Cr. | Sep-93 | Apr-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Scriba Cr. | Jun-10 | Apr-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Fish Cr. | Jun-13 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Carpenter Br. <br> Putnam Br./ | May-94 | Apr-12 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Coldsprings Cr. | May-96 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Hall Br. | Never | Oct-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Crane Br. | Never | Apr-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Skaneateles Cr. | Never | Oct-10 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Rice Cr. | May-72 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Eight Mile Cr. | Apr-07 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 28,728 | 3,010 | 2015 |
| Nine Mile Cr. | May-11 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sterling Cr. | May-12 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | $2015{ }^{1}$ |
| Blind Sodus Cr. | May-78 | Jul-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Red Cr. | Apr-10 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 7,112 | 3,556 | 2015 |
| Wolcott Cr. | May-79 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sodus Cr. | May-10 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | 427 | 285 | 2015 |
| Forest Lawn Cr. | Never | Aug-13 | --- | Yes | --- | --- | 2016 |
| Irondequoit Cr . | Never | Aug-14 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Larkin Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Northrup Cr. | Never | Aug-12 | --- | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Salmon Cr. | Apr-05 | Aug-13 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Sandy Cr. | Apr-14 | Aug-14 | No | Yes | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Oak Orchard Cr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johnson Cr. | Apr-10 | Aug-13 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| Third Cr. | May-72 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |
| First Cr. | May-95 | Aug-14 | No | No | --- | --- | Unknown |

Table 24. Status of larval Sea Lampreys in historically infested lentic areas of Lake Ontario during 2014.

| Tributary | Lentic Area | Last <br> Surveyed | Last Survey <br> Showing Infestation | Last <br> Treated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |
| Duffins Cr. | Duffins Cr. - lentic | Aug-12 | Aug-12 | Never $^{1}$ |
| Oshawa Cr. | Oshawa Cr. - lentic | Jul-13 | Oct-81 | Never $^{1}$ |
| Wilmot Cr. | Wilmot Cr. - lentic | Aug-11 | Aug-11 | Never $^{1}$ |
| United States |  |  |  |  |
| Black R. | Black River Bay | Aug-14 | Aug-14 | Never $^{1}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Ler |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Low-density larval population monitored with $3.2 \%$ granular Bayluscide surveys.

Table 25. Details on application of granular Bayluscide to tributaries and lentic areas of Lake Ontario for larval assessment purposes during 2014.

| Tributary | Bayluscide (kg) $^{1}$ | Area Surveyed (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canada |  |  |
| Niagara R. (lotic) | 9.52 | 1.7 |
| Twelve Mile Cr. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.2 |
| Twenty Mile Cr. (lotic) | 1.12 | 0.2 |
| Rouge R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.3 |
| Trent R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.3 |
| Moira R. (lotic) | 2.24 | 0.4 |
| Salmon R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.3 |
| Total (Canada) | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
|  |  |  |
| United States |  |  |
| Black R. (lotic) | 2.24 | 0.4 |
| Black R. (lentic) | 1.68 | 0.3 |
| Salmon R. (lotic) | 2.24 | 0.4 |
| Little Salmon R. (lotic) | 1.68 | 0.3 |
| Total (United States) | $\mathbf{7 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total for Lake | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ |
| Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient. |  |  |

## Juvenile Assessment

The juvenile life stage is assessed through the interpretation of marking rates by feeding juvenile Sea Lampreys on Lake Trout. Used in conjunction with adult Sea Lamprey abundance to annually evaluate the performance of the SLCP, marking rates on Lake Trout are contrasted against the targets set for each lake. Marking rates on Lake Trout are estimated from fisheries assessments conducted by state, provincial, tribal and federal fishery management agencies associated with each lake, and are updated when the data become available. These data provide a metric of the mortality inflicted on Lake Trout on a lake-wide basis.

Beginning in 2007, the Commission contracted with the Service's Green Bay Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (GBFWCO) to calculate marking statistics and Lake Trout abundance and to evaluate and describe the consistency of indices used to understand the damage caused by Sea Lampreys. In the fall of 2010, the Commission and GBFWCO began a process to create an updated database that consolidates the most recent fisheries data to create the metrics used to assess Sea Lamprey impacts across the lakes. Data from survey and commercial sampling has been submitted from over 25 organizations and work is underway to continue to standardize the multiple data-sets into cohesive lake-wide databases. Included in these submissions is information pertaining to marking on other species that has recently become an area of concern and will be evaluated in the future. The most recent results of this effort related to Lake Trout are presented in Figures 4-6 and Figures 9-10 and were calculated from un-weighted data for the whole lake (average number of marks calculated from all Lake Trout captured of a specific length range during a specific time period). The reason for the refresh of data sources was that calculation methods and the extent of data that were used to produce the previously used plots of marking rates were not consistent between the most recent graphs presented here and those presented in previous reports. Work continues to evaluate a number of ways to present the data, including weighting data based on characteristics of the individual lake units and possible separation of distinct regions within a lake, among other ideas. With this further analysis, it is hoped that a better understanding of Sea Lamprey impacts on the fish communities in the lakes and how they affect each other will be developed.

## Lake Superior

- Lake Trout marking data for Lake Superior are provided by MIDNR, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), GLIFWC, the Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa, the Chippewa-Ottawa Resource Authority (CORA), the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, the Grand Portage Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, OMNRF, and the Service's Ashland Office and analyzed by the Service's GBFWCO.
- The MIDNR provided data on the frequency of juvenile Sea Lampreys attached to fishes caught by sport charter fishes during 2014.
- A total of 12 juvenile Sea Lampreys were collected from 8 management districts: 12 were attached to Lake Trout and none were attached to Chinook Salmon. Attachment rates during 2014 were 0.2 per 100 Lake Trout ( $\mathrm{n}=4,866$ ) and 0.00 per 100 Chinook Salmon ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ), which were lower than the attachment rates on Lake Trout and Chinook Salmon during 2013 ( 0.9 and 1.57, respectively).
- Based on standardized spring assessment data, the marking rate during 2014 was $2.5 \mathrm{~A} 1-\mathrm{A} 3$ marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 4). The marking rate has been declining and is below the target of 5 per 100 Lake Trout for the first time since 1995.


Figure 4. Average number of A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ caught during AprilJune assessments in Lake Superior plotted in the year that the juvenile cohort returned as adults (marking recorded in the spring is inflicted by the cohort of Sea Lampreys that spawned that year). The horizontal line represents the target of 5 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout. The fiveyear moving average (green line) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (shaded area) is provided for visual reference.

## Lake Michigan

- Lake Trout marking data for Lake Michigan are provided by MIDNR, WDNR, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, CORA, Grand Traverse Bay Band of Ottawa Indians, Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Service, and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), and analyzed by the Service's GBFWCO.
- Based on standardized fall assessment data, the marking rate during 2014 was 10.0 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 5). The marking rate has been greater than the target for many of the previous 20 years, though it has been steady since 2006.
- The MDNR and WDNR provided data on the frequency of juvenile Sea Lampreys attached to fish caught by sport charter fishers during 2014.
- A total of 668 juvenile Sea Lampreys were collected from 14 management districts: 163 were attached to Lake Trout and 505 were attached to Chinook Salmon. Attachment rates during 2014 were 0.43 per 100 Lake Trout $(\mathrm{n}=38,286)$
and 0.60 per 100 Chinook Salmon ( $n=83,922$ ), which was lower for the attachment rate on Lake Trout during 2013 (1.17 per 100 lake trout) but higher for the attachment rate for Chinook Salmon during 2013 ( 0.36 per 100 Chinook Salmon).


Figure 5. Average number of A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ from standardized fall assessments in Lake Michigan, plotted in the year that the juvenile cohort returned as adults (marking recorded in the fall is inflicted by the cohort of Sea Lampreys that spawn the next spring). The horizontal line represents the target of 5 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout. The five-year moving average (green line) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (shaded area), is provided for visual reference.

## Lake Huron

- Lake Trout marking data for Lake Huron are provided by the MIDNR, CORA, USGS, OMNRF, and the Service and analyzed by the Service's GBFWCO.
- Based on standardized spring assessment data, the marking rate during 2014 was $11.6 \mathrm{~A} 1-\mathrm{A} 3$ marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$. The marking rate has been greater than the target of 5 per 100 Lake Trout since 1983 (Figure 6).
- Canadian commercial fisheries in northern Lake Huron continued to provide feeding juvenile Sea Lampreys in 2014, along with associated catch information including date, location and host species. The total number of Sea Lampreys captured each year, along with effort data provided by the OMNRF, can be used as an index of juvenile abundance in northern Lake Huron. Although the data for 2014 is not yet available, the CPUE value for 2013 was the lowest in nearly 30 years (Figure 7).
- Since 1998, standardized trapping for out-migrating juveniles has been conducted in the St. Marys River as an index of Sea Lamprey production in this system. Eleven floating fyke nets are deployed each October and November in the Munuscong, Sailor's Encampment, and Middle Neebish channels. In 2014, fyke nets were operated for a total of 495 net days, resulting in the capture of 19 out-migrating juveniles, and a CPUE of 0.04 (Figure 8).
- The MDNR provided data on the frequency of juvenile Sea Lampreys attached to fishes caught by sport charter fishers during 2014.
- A total of 99 juvenile Sea Lampreys were collected from 6 management districts: 80 were attached to Lake Trout and 19 were attached to Chinook Salmon. Attachment rates during 2014 were 1.43 per 100 Lake Trout $(\mathrm{n}=5,609)$ and 2.82 per 100 Chinook Salmon ( $n=673$ ), which were higher than the attachment rates on Lake Trout and Chinook Salmon during 2013 (1.04 and 0.71, respectively).


Figure 6. Average number of A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>533 \mathrm{~mm}$ caught during AprilMay assessments in Lake Huron, by Sea Lamprey spawning year (marking recorded in the spring is inflicted by the cohort of Sea Lampreys that spawned that year). Horizontal line represents the fish-community objective target of 5 A1-A3 marks per 100 fish. The five-year moving average (green line) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (shaded area), is provided for visual reference.


Figure 7. Northern Lake Huron commercial fisheries index showing CPUE (number of feeding juvenile Sea Lampreys per km of gillnet per night) for 1984-2013.


Figure 8. Catch per unit effort (number of out-migrating juvenile Sea Lampreys per net day) from fall fyke netting in the St. Marys River during 1998-2014.

## Lake Erie

- Lake Trout marking data for Lake Erie are provided by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, the USGS, and OMNRF, and analyzed by the Service's GBFWCO.
- Based on standardized fall assessment data, the marking rate during 2014 was 16.6 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout >533 mm, up from 13.6 in 2013. The marking rate has been greater than the target for the last 10 years and has been increasing the last 3 years after a 2 year decline from a high of 20 in 2009 (Figure 9).
- No data are collected in Lake Erie to determine the frequency of feeding juvenile Sea Lampreys attached to fish caught by sport charter fishers.
- A mark-recapture study was initiated during 2012 to: 1) determine whether out-migrating juveniles released in the St. Clair River could migrate successfully through the Huron Erie Corridor (HEC) and survive to be recaptured in the eastern basin in Lake Erie; and 2) compare recovery rates for juveniles released in the HEC and eastern Lake Erie tributaries. Out-migrating juveniles with coded wire tags were released during the fall of 2012 in the St. Clair River ( $\mathrm{n}=417$ ), and 10 other Lake Erie tributaries ( $\mathrm{n}=465$ ). All Sea Lampreys caught in adult assessment traps in Lake Erie tributaries were scanned for coded wire tags during 2014. Tags were collected from 10 adult Sea Lampreys ( 3 released in the St. Clair River, 7 released in Lake Erie tributaries). A Commission research completion report, Estimating Lake Erie Juvenile Abundance and Assessing Survival of Migrating Juveniles in the Huron-Erie Corridor (Barber et al., in press) will be submitted in 2015.


Figure 9. Average number of A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout >533 mm from standardized fall assessments in Lake Erie, plotted in the year that the juvenile cohort returned as adults (marking recorded in the fall is inflicted by the cohort of Sea Lampreys that spawn the next spring). The horizontal line represents the target of 5 A1-A3 marks per 100 Lake Trout. The five-year moving average (green line) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (shaded area), is provided for visual reference.

## Lake Ontario

- Lake Trout marking data for Lake Ontario are provided by the USGS, OMNRF, and NYSDEC, and analyzed by the Service's GBFWCO.
- Based on standardized fall assessment data, the marking rate during 2014 was 1.6 A1 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>431 \mathrm{~mm}$. The current marking rate is below the target and has been below or slightly above the target since 2008 (Figure 10).
- The NYSDEC provided data on the frequency of juvenile Sea Lampreys attached to fish caught by sport charter fishers during April 15 - September 30, 2014.
- An estimated 2,998 juvenile Sea Lampreys were observed by anglers. The percent composition of salmonine host species to which lampreys were attached was Coho Salmon ( $2.56 \%$ ), Chinook Salmon (58.12\%), Rainbow Trout (18.8\%), Brown Trout ( $17.95 \%$ ), and Lake Trout ( $2.56 \%$ ). Attachment rates were 1.34 per 100 trout and salmon in the west region, 0.87 in the west central region, 1.61 in the east central region, and 2.15 in the east region. In comparison to 2013, attachment rates were lower in the west, west central and east central regions (1.43, 1.50, and 2.53 respectively). In the east region, the 2014 attachment rate was higher than it was during both 2012 and 2013 ( 1.42 and 1.26 respectively).


Figure 10. Number of A1 marks per 100 Lake Trout $>431 \mathrm{~mm}$ from standardized fall assessments in Lake Ontario, by Sea Lamprey spawning year (marking recorded in the fall is inflicted by the cohort of Sea Lampreys that spawn the next spring). Horizontal line represents the fish-community objective target of 2 A1 marks per 100 fish. The five-year moving average (green line) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (shaded area), is provided for visual reference.

## Adult Assessment

The long-term effectiveness of the SLCP has been measured by the annual estimation of the lakewide populations of adult Sea Lampreys. Traps and nets are operated to capture migrating adult Sea Lampreys during the spring and early summer. Abundance is estimated using a combination of mark-recapture and trap efficiency estimates of adults in streams with traps, and regression model-predicted estimates in streams without traps.

## Lake Superior

- A total of 3,346 Sea Lampreys were captured at 23 sites in 22 tributaries (Table 26, Figure 21).
- The estimated population of adult Sea Lampreys was 79,583 (95\% CI; 59,591-134,836), an increase from 2012 and 2013 abundance estimates. Target range is $39,260 \pm 21,262$ (Figures 11-12).
- During the late August lampricide treatment in the Silver River (Baraga County), several adult Sea Lampreys were observed in the river.
- Adult Sea Lamprey migrations were monitored in the Amnicon, Poplar, Middle, Bad, Firesteel, Misery, and Silver rivers through cooperative agreements with GLIFWC, in Red Cliff Creek with the Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, in the Brule River with the WDNR, and in the Miners River with the National Park Service, Pictured Rocks National Lakeshore.
- An eel-ladder style trap (ELST) and smooth wetted ramp were tested at the Brule River trapping site. This was the first year of a two-year study to determine if passage success differs between ELST ramps and smooth ramps and Sea Lampreys and finfish. Early observations indicated that ELST ramps were $100 \%$ selective for Sea Lampreys while smooth ramps were mostly selective for finfish; however, some Sea Lampreys were able to ascend the smooth ramps.

Table 26. Information collected from adult Sea Lamprey captured in assessment traps or nets in tributaries of Lake Superior during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to streams in Figure 21).

| Tributary | Number Caught | Adult <br> Estimate | Trap Efficiency | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Sampled }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Percent Males ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (mm) |  | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neebing-McIntyre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floodway (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neebing R. | 216 | 657 | 33 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| McIntyre R. | 0 | --- | --- | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pancake R. (B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gimlet Cr. | 18 | 48 | 38 | 18 | 72 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Carp R. (C) | 29 | 90 | 32 | 29 | 66 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stokely Cr. (D) | 1 | --- | --- | 1 | 100 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Big Carp R. (E) | 3 | --- | --- | 3 | 67 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total or Mean (Canada) | 267 | --- | --- | 51 | 69 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tahquamenon R. (F) | 244 | 2,555 | 10 | 6 | 67 | 462 | 415 | 218 | 174 |
| Betsy R. (G) | 122 | 633 | 19 | 10 | 80 | 445 | 443 | 199 | 190 |
| Miners R. (H) | 164 | 438 | 37 | 35 | 77 | 418 | 407 | 179 | 172 |
| Furnace Bay Cr. (I) | 31 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rock R. (J) | 342 | 867 | 39 | 61 | 52 | 438 | 435 | 187 | 192 |
| Laughing Whitefish R. (K) | 11 | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Chocolay R.(L) | 144 | 1,107 | 13 | 11 | 55 | 434 | 421 | 167 | 177 |
| Big Garlic R. (M) | 103 | 988 | 10 | 2 | 50 | 480 | 460 | 217 | 202 |
| Silver R. (N) | 109 | 227 | 48 | 13 | 62 | 436 | 428 | 196 | 198 |
| Misery R. (O) | 107 | 175 | 61 | 6 | 83 | 416 | 435 | 176 | 198 |
| Firesteel R. (P) | 24 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Bad R. (Q) | 642 | 10,886 | 6 | 18 | 44 | 421 | 420 | 187 | 186 |
| Red Cliff Cr. (R) | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Brule R. (S) | 973 | 8,098 | 12 | 37 | 68 | 430 | 434 | 202 | 197 |
| Poplar R. (T) | 0 | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Middle R. (U) | 52 | 320 | 16 | 6 | 67 | 442 | 440 | 217 | 182 |
| Amnicon R. (V) | 11 | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total or Mean (U.S.) | 3,079 | --- | --- | 205 | 62 | 431 | 429 | 190 | 189 |
| Total or Mean (for lake) | 3,346 | --- | --- | 256 | 64 | 431 | 429 | 190 | 189 |

[^6]

Figure 11. Abundance estimates with $95 \%$ CIs (vertical bars) of adult Sea Lampreys in Lake Superior, including historic pre-control abundance and the five-year moving average (line) with $95 \%$ CIs (shaded area). Target abundance and $95 \%$ CI range were estimated from abundances during a period with acceptable marking rates (horizontal solid and dashed lines).


Figure 12. Distribution of adult Sea Lampreys in streams during the spring spawning migration, 2014. Circle size corresponds to estimated number of adults from mark-recapture studies (blue) and model predictions (orange). Tributaries composing over half of the lake-wide adult population estimate are identified (Nipigon 16,000; Bad 11,000; Michipicoten 8,200; Brule 8,100 ).

## Lake Michigan

- A total of 9,624 Sea Lampreys were trapped at 17 sites in 16 tributaries (Table 27, Figure 21).
- The estimated population of adult Sea Lampreys was 59,687 ( $95 \%$ CI; $54,709-65,860$ ) and was within the target range of $59,192 \pm 13,414$ (Figures 13-14).
- Adult Sea Lamprey migrations were monitored in the Boardman and Betsie rivers through a cooperative agreement with the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians.
- Service personnel enlarged the downstream opening of the Sea Lamprey trap at Tippy Dam on the Big Manistee River to increase the attraction flow.
- The USACE continued planning for trap improvement projects at the Little Manistee and Muskegon rivers using GLFER funding.

Table 27. Information collected from adult Sea Lampreys Sea Lamprey captured in assessment traps or nets in tributaries of Lake Michigan during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to stream location in Figure 21).

| Tributary | Number Caught | Adult <br> Estimate | Trap <br> Efficiency | Number Sampled ${ }^{1}$ | Percent <br> Males ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (mm) |  | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Carp Lake Outlet (A) | 1,596 | 2,125 | 75 | 465 | 55 | 480 | 479 | 229 | 233 |
| Jordan R. (B) | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Deer Cr. | 32 | 111 | 29 | 4 | 25 | 428 | 389 | 186 | 325 |
| Elk Lake Outlet (C) | 110 | 1,839 | 6 | 9 | 44 | 516 | 391 | 339 | 195 |
| Boardman R. (D) | 412 | 734 | 56 | 113 | 55 | 476 | 482 | 242 | 252 |
| Betsie R. (E) | 644 | 1,836 | 35 | 121 | 64 | 485 | 481 | 255 | 268 |
| Big Manistee R. (F) | 469 | 3,902 | 12 | 31 | 45 | 478 | 477 | 264 | 278 |
| Little Manistee R. | 8 | --- | --- | 1 | 0 | --- | 450 | --- | 266 |
| Muskegon R. (G) | 222 | 1,551 | 14 | 7 | 14 | 450 | 479 | 234 | 262 |
| St. Joseph R. (H) | 840 | 1,949 | 43 | 173 | 47 | 481 | 487 | 256 | 265 |
| Trail Cr. (I) | 73 | --- | --- | 5 | 100 | 492 | --- | 264 | --- |
| East Twin R. (J) | 221 | 584 | 38 | 36 | 56 | 495 | 491 | 264 | 261 |
| Oconto R. (K) | 4 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Peshtigo R. (L) | 1,426 | 2,952 | 48 | 173 | 43 | 479 | 481 | 235 | 245 |
| Menominee R. (M) | 84 | 678 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 488 | --- | 212 | --- |
| Ogontz R. (N) | 1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Manistique R. (O) | 3,433 | 11,655 | 29 | 82 | 60 | 499 | 497 | 268 | 283 |
| Hog Island Cr. (P) | 49 | 115 | 43 | 19 | 58 | 509 | 501 | 297 | 268 |
| Total or Mean | 9,624 | --- | --- | 1,241 | 53 | 483 | 481 | 244 | 250 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of Sea Lampreys used to determine percent males, mean length, and mean weight.
${ }^{2}$ Gender was determined by using external characteristics


Figure 13. Abundance estimates with $95 \%$ CIs (vertical bars) of adult Sea Lampreys in Lake Michigan, including historic pre-control abundance and the five-year moving average (line) with $95 \%$ CIs (shaded area). Target abundance and $95 \%$ CI range were estimated from abundances during a period with acceptable marking rates (horizontal solid and dashed lines).


Figure 14. Distribution of adult Sea Lampreys in streams during the spring spawning migration, 2014. Circle size corresponds to estimated number of adults from mark-recapture studies (blue) and model predictions (orange). Tributaries composing over half of the lake-wide adult population estimate are identified (Manistique 12,000; Big Manistee 3,900; Peshtigo 3,000; Platte 2,700; Kalamazoo 2,500; Carp Lake Outlet 2,100; St. Joseph 1,900; Elk Lake Outlet 1,800; Betsie 1,800).

## Lake Huron

- A total of 28,849 Sea Lampreys were trapped at 16 sites in 15 tributaries (Table 28, Figure 21).
- During 2014, adult Sea Lamprey abundance in Lake Huron was estimated to be 104,361 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI} ; 94,820-125,439$ ). The 2014 abundance estimate represents a substantial reduction from 2012 and 2013 estimates. Target range is $75,891 \pm 20,203$ (Figures 15-16).
- A total of 2,404 adult Sea Lampreys were captured in traps operated in the St. Marys River at the Clergue Generating Station in Canada, and the USACE, Cloverland Electric plants and compensating gates in the U.S. The estimated population in the river was 13,973 Sea Lampreys and trapping efficiency was $17 \%$.
- The USACE continued planning for trap improvement projects at the St. Marys, Au Sable, and East Au Gres rivers using GLFER program funding.
- An ELST was tested at the Ocqueoc and Cheboygan River trapping sites. This was the first year of a two year study to determine if ramp flow, angle, and the presence of pheromone influence entry and completion rate of ELSTs. Results of this research are currently being analyzed, but early observations indicate that all of these factors influence completion rates to some degree. This work will be repeated during 2015. A Commission research progress report, Refinement of a new trapping tool for migrating adult Sea Lamprey (Reinhardt et al., in press), will be submitted in early 2015.
- A portable trap with vertical electrode pulsed-DC lead was tested in Bridgeland Creek, a tributary of the Thessalon River. The portable trap with an electric lead captured 2,819 adult Sea Lampreys or about 48\% of the estimated Sea Lampreys in Bridgeland Creek during 2014. A barrier-integrated trap was fished upstream of the electric lead trap and captured an additional 2,442 Sea Lampreys. Given the catch of Sea Lampreys in both traps, the combined Sea Lamprey exploitation rate in Bridgeland Creek equaled 93\%, which was a record high for the site and the Great Lakes basin. Impacts to non-target species were deemed negligible. During 2015, experiments will be repeated in Bridgeland Creek and another trap with pulsed DC lead will be experimentally deployed in the Chocolay River, Michigan, a tributary of Lake Superior.

Table 28. Information collected from adult Sea Lamprey captured in assessment traps or nets in tributaries of Lake Huron during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to stream in Figure 21).

| Tributary | Number Caught | Adult Estimate | Trap Efficiency | Number Sampled ${ }^{1}$ | Percent Males ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (mm) |  | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Marys R. (A) | 2,404 | 13,973 | 17 | 1,403 | 69 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Echo R. (B) | 1,674 | 3,398 | 49 | 170 | 58 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Thessalon R. (C) | 142 | 678 | 21 | 116 | 72 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Bridgeland Cr. | 4,752 | 5,112 | 93 | 4,049 | 60 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mississagi R. (D) | 59 | --- | --- | 59 | 80 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total or Mean (Canada) | 9,031 | --- | --- | 5,797 | 63 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saginaw R. (E) | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tittabawassee R. | 171 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| East Au Gres R. (F) | 394 | 1,155 | 34 | 2 | 100 | 499 | --- | 280 | --- |
| Au Sable R. (G) | 608 | -- | --- | 1 | 100 | 510 | --- | 325 | --- |
| Devils R. (H) | 112 | 531 | 21 | 24 | 46 | 466 | 478 | 256 | 266 |
| Trout R. (I) | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ocqueoc R. (J) | 3,056 | 4,005 | 76 | 388 | 49 | 469 | 471 | 216 | 219 |
| Greene Cr. (K) | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cheboygan R. (L) | 15,005 | 18,110 | 83 | 1,176 | 51 | 477 | 479 | 215 | 229 |
| Carp R. (M) | 85 | 414 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 491 | --- | 265 | --- |
| Trout Cr. (N) | 130 | 361 | 36 | 28 | 68 | 469 | 451 | 223 | 257 |
| Albany Cr. (O) | 257 | 1,494 | 17 | 29 | 52 | 464 | 455 | 221 | 220 |
| St. Marys R. (A) | See | See | See | 8 | 75 | 478 | 514 | 271 | 285 |
|  | Canada | Canada | Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total or Mean (U.S.) | 19,818 | --- | --- | 1,658 | 51 | 475 | 477 | 217 | 228 |
| Total or Mean (for Lake) | 28,849 | --- | --- | 7,455 | 60 | 475 | 477 | 217 | 228 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of Sea Lampreys used to determine percent males, mean length, and mean weight.
${ }^{2}$ Gender was determined by using external characteristics.


Figure 15. Abundance estimates with $95 \%$ CIs (vertical bars) of adult Sea Lampreys in Lake Huron, including historic pre-control abundance and the five-year moving average (line) with 95\% CIs (shaded area). Target abundance and 95\% CI ranges (horizontal solid and dashed lines) were estimated from abundances during a period with acceptable marking rates.


Figure 16. Distribution of adult Sea Lampreys in streams during the spring spawning migration, 2014. Circle size corresponds to estimated number of adults from mark-recapture studies (blue) and model predictions (orange). Tributaries composing over half of the lake-wide adult population estimate are identified (Cheboygan 18,000; St. Marys 14,000; Serpent 8,600; Garden 7,000; Spanish 6,000).

## Lake Erie

- A total of 5,816 Sea Lampreys were trapped in 6 sites on 5 tributaries during 2014 (Table 29, Figure 21).
- The estimated population of adult Sea Lampreys was 14,577 ( $95 \%$ CI; 13,184-16,342) which was greater than the target range of $3,778 \pm 1,206$ (Figures 17-18).

Table 29. Information collected from Sea Lamprey adults captured in assessment traps or nets in tributaries of Lake Erie during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to stream in Figure 15).

| Tributary | Number Caught | Adult Estimate | Trap Efficiency | Number Sampled ${ }^{1}$ | Percent <br> Males ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (mm) |  | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Otter Cr. (A) | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Little Otter Cr. | 127 | 195 | 26 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Big Cr. (B) | 2,124 | 3,022 | 70 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Young's Cr. (C) | 484 | 758 | 64 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total or Mean (Canada) | 2,735 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattaraugus Cr. (D) | 2,537 | 5,089 | 50 | 316 | 66 | 509 | 508 | 273 | 283 |
| Spooner Cr. | 93 | --- | --- | 22 | 46 | 477 | 497 | 240 | 272 |
| Grand R. (E) | 451 | 990 | 46 | 87 | 53 | 496 | 490 | 251 | 262 |
| Total or Mean (U.S.) | 3,081 | --- | --- | 425 | 62 | 506 | 503 | 268 | 277 |
| Total or Mean (for lake) | 5,816 | --- | --- | 425 | 62 | 506 | 503 | 268 | 277 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of Sea Lampreys used to determine percent males, mean length, and mean weight.
${ }^{2}$ Gender was determined by using external characteristics.


Spawning year
Figure 17. Abundance estimates with $95 \%$ CIs (vertical bars) of adult Sea Lampreys in Lake Erie, including historic pre-control abundance and the five-year moving average (line) with 95\% CIs (shaded area). Target abundance and $95 \%$ CI range (horizontal solid and dashed lines) were estimated from abundances during a period with acceptable marking rates.


Figure 18. Distribution of adult Sea Lampreys in streams during the spring spawning migration, 2014. Circle size corresponds to estimated number of adults from mark-recapture studies (blue) and model predictions (orange). Tributaries composing over half of the lake-wide adult population estimate are identified (Cattaraugus 5,100; Big 3,000).

## Lake Ontario

- A total of 4,072 Sea Lampreys were trapped at 12 sites on 11 tributaries (Table 30, Figure 21).
- The estimated population of adult Sea Lampreys was 19,482 ( $95 \%$ CI; 16,880-24,032), which was lower than the target range of $34,200 \pm 10,335$ (Figures 19-20).

Table 30. Information collected from Sea Lamprey adults captured in assessment traps or nets in tributaries of Lake Ontario during 2014 (letter in parentheses corresponds to stream in Figure 21).

| Tributary | Number Caught | Adult <br> Estimate | Trap <br> Efficiency | Number <br> Sampled ${ }^{1}$ | Percent Males ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (mm) |  | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humber R. (A) | 2,536 | 4,100 | 62 | 254 | 45 | 482 | 480 | 252 | 256 |
| Duffins Cr. (B) | 399 | 1,032 | 39 | 40 | 55 | 492 | 478 | 257 | 246 |
| Bowmanville Cr. (C) | 211 | 677 | 31 | 67 | 58 | 503 | 498 | 276 | 278 |
| Graham Cr. (D) | 169 | 246 | 69 | 55 | 49 | 511 | 490 | 274 | 258 |
| Cobourg Cr. (E) | 175 | 265 | 66 | 66 | 48 | 489 | 486 | 246 | 261 |
| Salmon R. (F) | 2 | --- | --- | 1 | 100 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total or Mean (Canada) | 3,492 | --- | --- | 483 | 49 | 491 | 484 | 258 | 259 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black R. (G) | 95 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Salmon R.(H) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orwell Br. | 84 | 137 | 61 | 22 | 45 | 472 | 469 | 255 | 257 |
| Grindstone Cr. (I) | 47 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Little Salmon R. (J) | 93 | 391 | 24 | 10 | 80 | 517 | 492 | 298 | 279 |
| Sterling Cr. (K) | 216 | 847 | 25 | 18 | 72 | 500 | 540 | 292 | 311 |
| Sterling Valley Cr. | 45 | 161 | 28 | 3 | 67 | 457 | 438 | 270 | 221 |
| Total or Mean (U.S.) | 580 | --- | --- | 53 | 62 | 493 | 488 | 281 | 271 |
| Total or Mean (for lake) | 4,072 | --- | --- | 536 | 50 | 491 | 484 | 261 | 260 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of Sea Lampreys used to determine percent males, mean length, and mean weight.
${ }^{2}$ Gender was determined by using external characteristics.


Figure 19. Abundance estimates with $95 \%$ CIs (vertical bars) of adult Sea Lampreys in Lake Ontario, including historic pre-control abundance and the five-year moving average (line) with 95\% CIs (shaded area). Target abundance and 95\% CI ranges (horizontal solid and dashed lines) were estimated from abundances during a period with acceptable marking rates.


Figure 20. Distribution of adult Sea Lampreys in streams during the spring spawning migration, 2014. Circle size corresponds to estimated number of adults from mark-recapture studies (blue) and model predictions (orange). Tributaries composing over half of the lake-wide adult population estimate are identified (Humber 4,100; Black 2,800; Duffins 1,000; Credit 1,000; Salmon (River) 930).


Figure 21. Locations of tributaries where assessment traps were operated during 2014.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk management addresses environmental and non-target issues related to the implementation of the SLCP in the United States. This involves coordination with many federal, state and tribal agencies, and working with others to minimize risk to non-target organisms.

## Endangered Species Act

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires all US federal agencies to consult with the Service's Ecological Services Program (ES) to ensure that actions that are federally funded, authorized, permitted or otherwise carried out will not jeopardize the continued existence of any federally listed (endangered, threatened and candidate) species or adversely modify designated critical habitat.

## Annual Reviews

Endangered species reviews are conducted annually with ES to discuss proposed lampricide applications, assess the potential risk of these applications to federally listed species, and develop procedures to protect and avoid disturbance for each listed species.

During 2014, the following ES field offices reviewed the effect of scheduled lampricide applications on endangered species within their jurisdiction. Concurrence with proposed conservation measures and determinations of "no effect" or "not likely to adversely affect" was received from:

- East Lansing Field Office
- Green Bay Field Office
- New York Field Office
- Pennsylvania Field Office
- Twin Cities Field Office
- Bloomington Field Office


## Programmatic Review

Because of the broad scope of the SLCP, consultation under Section 7 of the ESA involves several states, many listed species, and hundreds of streams. In an effort to streamline the consultation process and to add predictability for project planning, an informal, draft, SLCP-wide (programmatic) Section 7 Review was prepared in coordination with the East Lansing Field Office and submitted to the Midwest Region ES Program for consideration during 2007. The programmatic review evaluates all SLCP activities, identifies potential impacts to protected species and critical habitats, and specifies conservation measures to eliminate or minimize disturbance. No further action was taken on the SLCP programmatic review during 2014.

## Species or Stream-specific Investigations

No risk management program activities were focused on federally-listed species during the 2014 field season.

## State-Listed Species

## Annual Reviews

Reviews are annually conducted with state agencies to fulfill regulatory permit requirements, assess the potential risk to state listed (endangered, threatened, and special concern) species, and develop procedures that protect and avoid disturbance for each listed species.

During 2014, the following state regulatory offices reviewed potential impact of SLCP operations on state-listed endangered species within their jurisdiction and issued permits to conduct lampricide applications:

- Michigan Department of Natural Resources
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection


## Species or Stream-specific Investigations

- Hornyhead Chub - Studies were conducted in a portable bioassay trailer on Conneaut Creek (Lake Erie) to determine the toxicity of TFM to the Hornyhead Chub (HHC: Nocomis biguttatus). Results demonstrated that the HHC is not sensitive to TFM at the concentrations used to control Sea Lampreys. The HHC is listed as endangered in the State of Pennsylvania and has only been found in the upper section of Conneaut Creek (Porter Road to Fish Creek Road) and a small tributary (Fish Creek). These sections of the Conneaut Creek were not included in the 2013 treatment due to the presence of HHCs, but will be included in the 2015 spring treatment.


## Field Protocols

Both federal and state listed species are included in protocols that are annually developed by the risk management team for field staff. The protocols detail conservation measures to be followed where Sea Lamprey control activities are scheduled near listed species. During 2014, the following protocols were implemented to protect and avoid disturbance to federal- and statelisted species:

Non-target Sampling - A field trial of the technical operating procedure for biological surveys and collections was conducted in the Ford River (Lake Michigan) to gauge effort and feasibility of implementation. Revisions to the procedure and additional field trials are planned for 2015.

- Protocol to protect and avoid disturbance to federal- and state-listed endangered, threatened, candidate, proposed, or special concern species and critical or proposed critical habitats in or near Great Lakes streams scheduled for lampricide treatments in the United States during 2014.
- Protocol to protect and avoid disturbance to federal- and state-listed endangered, threatened, candidate, proposed, or special concern species and critical or proposed critical habitats in or near Great Lakes streams scheduled for granular Bayluscide assessments in the United States during 2014.

The protocols provided field personnel with a list of protected federal and state listed species, their known locations, and measures to avoid and protect. No mortality or disturbance was observed during 2014 for the 99 federal and state listed species and the de-listed Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) identified in the protocols.

## National Environmental Policy Act

Title I and section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires U.S. federal agencies to incorporate environmental considerations in their planning and decision making, which includes the details of the environmental impact of, and alternatives to, major federal actions significantly affecting the environment. During 2014, NEPA was required for new cooperative agreements for the following actions:

- Bad River adult sea lamprey assessment
- Clear Creek adult sea lamprey assessment
- Bad River lampricide treatment

Portable sea lamprey assessment traps (placement and service) and lampricide treatments do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the environment and fall under the class of actions that are categorical excluded from the execution of an environmental assessment. Therefore, NEPA was addressed by completing the NEPA Compliance Checklist for each project. In addition, each project was reviewed by Regional and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers who determined that the projects would not have a negative impact on historic property or sites of cultural importance.

## Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act

Reports were prepared to comply with the U.S. EPA June 16, 1998 ruling of Section 6(a)(2) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). This section of the FIFRA requires pesticide registrants to report unreasonable adverse effects of their products to the EPA. The Service is the registrant for lampricides and must report unreasonable adverse effects on humans, domestic animals, fish, wildlife, plants, other non-target organisms, water, and damage to property. Incident reports are required with the observed mortality of a single federally-listed endangered, threatened or candidate species, and with observed mortalities of greater than 50 non-schooling or 1,000 schooling fish of any non-target species or taxa during a lampricide application (Table 31).

Table 31. Summary of 6(a)(2) reports submitted for incidents of non-target mortality during 2014.

| Lake | Stream | Mortality | Freq | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seneca | Catherine Cr. <br> Canal $^{3}$ | Tessellated darter (Etheostoma olmstedi) | 97 | Fish unable to escape <br> treatment plot |
| Champlain | Salmon R. $^{1}$ | Stonecat (Noturus flavus) | 84 | Sensitive to TFM |
| Au Sable R. <br> Delta $^{2}$ | Tessellated Darter | 500 | Fish unable to escape <br> treatment plot |  |
| Ontario | Little Au Sable R. $^{1}$ | Stonecat | 106 | Sensitive to TFM |
|  | Sandy Cr. $^{3}$ | Stonecat | 350 |  <br> unexpected pH drop <br> due to heavy rain |

${ }^{1}$ TFM.
${ }^{2}$ Niclosamide.
${ }^{3}$ TFM/niclosamide

## TASK FORCE REPORTS

During its 2012 Annual Meeting the Commission restructured its Sea Lamprey Integration Committee (SLIC) and task forces. The SLIC was reformed into the Sea Lamprey Control Board (SLCB). The Lampricide Control and Barrier task forces remained intact. The Assessment and Reproduction Reduction task forces were disbanded and replaced with the two new task forces: the Larval Assessment Task Force and the Trapping Task Force. The task forces include agents with expertise in specific program areas, researchers and academics, outside experts, Lake Committee representatives, Commission staff, and other experts as needed. The task forces report to the SLCB, which established their terms of reference and works with them to recommend program direction and funding to the Commission.

The following sections report the purpose, membership, and progress on objectives as charged to each task force by the SLCB.

## Lampricide Control Task Force

## Purpose

Maximize the number of Sea Lampreys killed in individual streams and lentic areas while minimizing costs and impacts on aquatic ecosystems.

## 2014 Membership

Brian Stephens (Chair), Bruce Morrison, Shawn Robertson (Department); Cheryl Kaye, Stephen Lantz, Shawn Nowicki, Tim Sullivan, Lisa Walter (Service); Jean Adams (USGS/Commission); Mike Boogaard, Terry Hubert, Karen Slaght (USGS); Michael Wilkie (Wilfred Laurier University); Dale Burkett, Ted Treska (Commission Secretariat).

## Progress towards goals described in the GLFC Vision:

## Goal 1: Suppress Sea Lamprey populations to target levels.

Strategy 1: Implement lampricide treatment strategies to suppress Sea Lamprey populations to target levels in each Great Lake.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Where applicable, strategies were employed to reduce the number of Sea Lamprey that survive treatment and increase the effectiveness of individual stream treatments. Backwater and isolated areas in the target stream that did not receive lethal doses of lampricide were treated secondarily in conjunction with the main application. Lampricide concentrations were targeted to be greater than $10 \%$ above theoretical values due to some uncertainty with the predictive chart levels. With the exception of outside agency constraints (i.e. state, provincial, hydroelectric generation) streams were scheduled for treatment in the optimal time of year to ensure sufficient
discharge. As the field season continued into the fall period, streams were to be treated for a longer duration because of seasonal variation in TFM sensitivity.

2: Personnel within the program were deployed to the control units in order to treat more streams in the spring (when conditions are generally optimal) and to augment treatment effort on complex, labor intensive systems later in the season.

3: The shallow draft jet drive GB spray boat was deemed unnecessary for treatment plots in the St. Marys River in 2014 due to higher discharges through the compensating gates. However, the boat was utilized in the estuary of the Michipicoten River to increase coverage in shallow waters.

4: Treated streams were listed under the 'Geographical Efficiencies' category of the stream ranking process in order to realize savings in travel and to increase the efficiency in utilizing field personnel. Additional streams were added to the treatment schedule based on 2014 larval assessment data and the proximity of treatment crews.

5: The use of Emulsifiable Concentrate (EC) was increased during the 2014 field season. Due to refinements in application methods, the Control Agents are prepared to use EC exclusively. A new policy inventory level for EC has been established and the use of Bayluscide Wettable Powder (WP) will be phased out once the supply of the product is exhausted. As compared to WP, the liquid formulation is easier to apply and results in improved regulation of the chemical concentrations to ensure required levels to kill lamprey are achieved.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Review past treatment results and larval assessment data to direct implementation of applicable treatment strategies to achieve improved efficacy for streams ranked for treatment in 2015.

2: Deploy additional personnel from within the program during the spring to treat more streams to take advantage of seasonal susceptibility and optimal stream discharge and water chemistries as well as to offset staffing shortfalls on larger systems.

3: Where applicable, increase treatment effectiveness on treatment applications of GB by scheduling a combination all three spray boats within the program.

4: Support and provide input into research that investigates Sea Lamprey sensitivity and non-target effects of fishes to TFM which may lead to new control strategies.

Strategy 3: Measure the effectiveness of lampricide application and account for its variation among streams.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Lampricide analysis and water chemistry data from treatments in 2014 were reviewed to identify potential areas that did not receive theoretical lethal TFM concentrations during stream treatments. Information is provided to larval assessment to help guide treatment evaluation survey effort and if required, may result in re-treatment.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Review past treatment history and larval assessment information for streams ranked for treatment in 2015 to identify impediments to effectiveness and develop strategies to increase efficacy.

2: Participate in technical assistance projects and assist in research to optimize the effectiveness of lampricide applications.

## Goal 2: Increase the effectiveness and efficiency of Sea Lamprey control to maximize reductions in Sea Lamprey populations in each Great Lake.

Strategy 4: Implement integrated strategies for Sea Lamprey control for each lake and evaluate their effectiveness.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Implemented the first year of the 2014-2015 large scale treatment strategy.
Favorable conditions during the 2014 field season resulted in additional treatments (both stream TFM and lentic GB applications) being conducted based on larval assessment information collected during the current field season.

2: Provided assistance to the LATF in the development of an assessment and control plan for the HEC which is to be presented at the 14-02 Sea Lamprey Control Board meeting.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Assist LATF in the development of possible control strategies in the HEC as directed by Sea Lamprey Control Board.

2: Assist in the development of recommendations and implement tactics from the lampricide control review to increase effectiveness of treatments.

## Barrier Task Force

## Purpose

The task force was established during April 1991 to coordinate efforts of the Department, the Service, and the USACE on the construction, operation, and maintenance of Sea Lamprey barriers.

## 2014 Membership

Jessica Barber (Chair), Cheryl Kaye, Rob Elliott (Service); Brian Stephens, Tonia Van Kempen, Bhuwani Paudel, and Tom Pratt (Department); Jim Galloway and Carl Platz (USACE); Gary Whelan (MIDNR); David Gonder (OMNRF); Nicholas Johnson (USGS); Rob McLaughlin (University of Guelph); Dale Burkett and Michael Siefkes (Commission Secretariat).

Progress towards goals described in the GLFC Vision:
Goal 1: Suppress Sea Lamprey populations to target levels.
Strategy 5: Construct and maintain a network of barriers to limit Sea Lamprey access to spawning habitats.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Planning continued on 16 barrier construction projects to prevent Sea Lampreys from accessing spawning habitat.
2: Stop log replacement and maintenance in the auxiliary spillway at the Union Street Dam, Boardman River (Lake Michigan) was completed to address escapement, thereby restoring the blocking function and limiting access to upstream spawning habitat under normal flow conditions.

3: Modifications to the stop log configuration at the Otter Lake Dam on the Sturgeon River (Lake Superior) defacto barrier to prevent upstream migration were completed, restoring the blocking function of the barrier to ensure adult Sea Lampreys do not have access to spawning habitats under normal flow conditions.
4: Routine maintenance at all purpose-built Sea Lamprey barriers was completed to ensure adult Sea Lampreys do not have access to spawning habitat.

5: Inspection of approximately 400 existing barriers in the Great Lakes was conducted to assess whether structures would prevent upstream migration and to identify repairs necessary to minimize the number of parasitic lampreys originating from untreated sources.

6: Review of 30 fish passage projects was initiated or completed to determine the effect of fish passage and dam or culvert removals to Sea Lamprey control operations.
7: Completed electrofishing surveys and habitat assessments conducted upstream of barriers of concern in the Grand and Saginaw rivers to quantify potential infestation
risk; barrier inspections were also completed to verify historical information and at locations not currently represented in the barrier database.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Initiate construction of the Manistique River (Lake Michigan) Sea Lamprey barrier to prevent Sea Lampreys from migrating upstream to spawning habitat.

2: Complete design for rebuilding the Harpersfield Dam on the Grand River (Lake Erie) as a Sea Lamprey barrier. Plan for construction in FY16 to ensure that Sea Lampreys remain blocked at the Harpersfield Dam.

3: Initiate rebuild of Denny's Dam on the Saugeen River (Lake Huron), subject to successful consultation between OMNRF and Saugeen Ojibway Nation to ensure that Sea Lampreys remain blocked at Denny's Dam.

4: Members remain engaged in the process to reach a decision point regarding the Black Sturgeon River (Lake Superior) Camp 43 dam.
5: Members remain engaged in the analysis of options at the $6^{\text {th }}$ Street Dam on the Grand River (Lake Michigan) to assess risk of adult Sea Lampreys migrating upstream of the proposed structure that will create a whitewater rapids area in downtown Grand Rapids.

6: Continue working on priority GLFER barrier projects with the USACE: Bad (Lake Superior) and Little Manistee rivers (Lake Michigan) to limit Sea Lamprey access to spawning habitat.

7: Investigate repair, rebuild, or removal alternatives of the Sea Lamprey barrier on Duffin's Creek (Lake Ontario) to restore blocking function.

8: Investigate use of existing surrogate species data to predict infestation risk upstream of blocking barriers.

9: Deliver barrier program of operation and maintenance to limit Sea Lamprey access to spawning habitat.

## Goal 2: Increase the effectiveness and efficiency of Sea Lamprey control to further reduce Sea Lamprey populations in each Great Lake.

Strategy 4: Implement integrated Sea Lamprey control strategies for each lake and evaluate their effectiveness.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Participated in alarm cue trials at the Carp Lake Outlet (Lake Michigan) where the compound was found to increase the likelihood of upstream movement highlighting its utility in a push-pull scenario to direct lampreys toward a successful trap or effective treatment location. Preliminary data analysis indicates application of the alarm cue to half of the channel (side opposite the trap) decreased the time it took lampreys to find the trap by $50 \%$. Trap capture success in 2014 was $>80 \%$.

2: Participated in alarm cue trials at Deer and Stutts creeks (Lake Michigan) to test the efficacy of the alarm cue to block one tributary at a confluence of similar-sized streams (Stutts Creek, Manistique River system) and a tributary to a large river (Deer Creek, East Jordan River system) in the presence of larval odor. Preliminary data analysis indicates larval removal is necessary before blockage.

3: The Cheboygan Working Group (CWG) investigated wounding and adult capture reports from the upper Cheboygan River system and confirmed presence of a small adult Sea Lamprey population through monitoring of fyke nets. The CWG is considering options for control in the Upper Cheboygan, which include push-pull trapping and SMRT.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Remain involved in barrier research regarding use of chemo-sensory techniques to block or guide Sea Lampreys to increase capture of adult Sea Lampreys at barrier/trap complexes.

2: Participate in research trials to further test alarm cue response and its utility in a pushpull scenario to direct lampreys toward a successful barrier/trap complex or effective treatment location.

3: Participate in a workshop where results of behavioral manipulation experiments will be transferred to control agents and the broader research community associated with the GLFC. The intent of the workshop is to create an annual forum to discuss results from recent work attempting to improve Sea Lamprey trapping and lampricide control via behavioral manipulation techniques.
3: Submit proposal to field test a combination of alternative strategies (pheromone, alarm cue, portable electric guidance systems, etc.) to block Sea Lampreys from accessing spawning habitat.
4: The CWG will repeat 2013-2014 experiment during 2015 to conduct adult Sea Lamprey assessment in the upper Cheboygan River to confirm that adult populations upstream of the Cheboygan Lock and Dam complex are small. The CWG will also prepare a strategy document that describes potential control actions in the upper Cheboygan River watershed.

## Larval Assessment Task Force

The task force was established in 2012 and combined some objectives from the Assessment Task Force and the Larval Assessment Work Group.

## Purpose

Rank streams and lentic areas for Sea Lamprey control options and evaluate success of lampricide treatments through assessment of residual larvae.

## 2014 Membership

Lisa Walter (Chair), Alex Gonzalez and Aaron Jubar, (Service); Fraser Neave, Mike Steeves, Brian Stephens and Kevin Tallon (Department); Jean Adams and Chris Holbrook, (USGS); Travis Brenden, (Quantitative Fisheries Center, Michigan State University); Ted Treska and Dale Burkett (Commission Secretariat).

## Progress towards goals described in the GLFC Vision:

## Goal 1: Suppress Sea Lamprey populations to target levels.

Strategy 2: Conduct detection and distribution surveys to identify all sources of larval Sea Lampreys.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Detection surveys were conducted on 226 tributaries basin-wide during 2014. Eightyfive Wisconsin tributaries of lakes Superior and Michigan were assessed as part of a special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin. Low density Sea Lamprey populations were discovered in Silver Creek, a small Lake Michigan tributary in Door County, WI and Big Sister Creek, a Lake Erie tributary near Angola, NY. Big Sister Creek has ranked for treatment during 2015 and Silver Creek will continue to be monitored. Additionally, detection surveys conducted in seven northeastern Illinois tributaries found the streams to be of poor quality and without Sea Lamprey infestation.

2: Distribution surveys were conducted during 2014 in tributaries scheduled for treatment during 2014 and tributaries expected to be treated during 2015. Notable increased distributions for 2014 treatments were found on the East Branch of the Fox River (tributary to the Manistique River), Chub Creek, (tributary to Lake Huron's Pine River), and the Pere Marquette River (Lake Michigan). Sea Lamprey distribution in the Pere Marquette River has increased with each treatment since the removal of the weir.

3: During the 2014 field season, 67 GB surveys covering $50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ were conducted in the upper and lower portions of the St. Clair River to supplement previous data and to fill spatial gaps where needed. Fifty-five Sea Lamprey larvae were collected during GB surveys in 2014. In addition, negotiation between the Commission secretariat and WIFN enabled intensive DWEF to be completed at 733 sites in WIFN territorial waters to provide quantitative information on Sea Lamprey habitat availability and larval densities. Although the latter was the first of a two year assessment, favorable conditions and the availability of WIFN assistants resulted in a comprehensive look at production potential for most of the area. Seven Sea Lamprey larvae were collected during DWEF sampling. By combining the 2014 GB and DWEF surveys with the previous 2011-2013 results, Sea Lamprey abundance was estimated as number per hectare for each of the four discrete substrate classes. The river-wide estimate of
larval Sea Lamprey abundance is $919,509-1,533,983$. This range represents our uncertainty in capture efficiency (catch per unit effort, CPE) given the larger sample plots (1,000-1,295 m${ }^{2}$ ) used on the St. Clair River compared with smaller $500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ plots typically used on other Great Lakes tributaries.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Conduct detection surveys as possible given higher priority survey needs. When new infestations are found, rank for treatment as size structure dictates. Detection survey effort will be expanded in the Marquette office as a result of a special appropriation from the State of Wisconsin.

2: Conduct distribution surveys on all streams scheduled for treatment during late 2015 and early 2016, including those streams chosen for treatment as part of the next large scale treatment scenario.

3: Complete RoxAnn and DWEF sampling in channels adjacent to WIFN, and conduct standard levels of annual assessment in the St. Clair and Detroit rivers. Add new information to the Draft Plan for Assessment and Control in the HEC.

Strategy 3: Measure the effectiveness of lampricide application and account for its variation among streams.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Post-treatment assessments were conducted on 76 tributaries and 13 lentic areas that were treated during 2013 and 2014. Nine tributaries totaling 424 staff days of lampricide control effort ranked for treatment during 2015 based on residual Sea Lampreys. Treatments of these streams are estimated to kill 641,500 larvae basinwide.

2: Mike Boogaard (USGS - UMESC) evaluated the release time of GB and associated emergence time of larval lampreys at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Preliminary results are that lampreys took longer to emerge in colder water, a finding that could have implications to collection time and efficiency of GB assessments.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Continue to conduct post-treatment assessments on all treated streams and rank streams where large residual Sea Lampreys are recovered.

2: Conduct additional trials measuring the time to emergence when GB is applied at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the temperature of many St. Clair River assessment surveys. Use this information when negotiating sampling times with permitting agencies, and integrate into larval assessment protocols as necessary.

## Goal 2: Increase the effectiveness and efficiency of Sea Lamprey control to further reduce Sea Lamprey populations in each Great Lake.

Strategy 3: Improve existing and develop new rapid assessment methods to determine the distribution and relative abundance of larval Sea Lamprey populations.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: During a larval protocol meeting held in January 2015, updated protocols detailing methods to conduct ranking and distribution surveys. Discussions on how to rank lentic areas for treatment, how to calculate "effective Type 1 habitat" for ranking surveys and ideal times to conduct distribution surveys were held.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Reinstate the Larval Assessment Work Group (Aaron Jubar of Service to chair) and meet in person annually to review larval protocols and other topics of concern in detail. Continued protocol discussions are necessary to promote consistency among offices throughout times of significant staff turnover.

2: Agents will work with UMESC to collect water samples in streams with low, medium, and high larval abundances as researchers attempt to quantify Sea Lamprey eDNA.

Strategy 4: Implement integrated Sea Lamprey control strategies for each lake and evaluate their effectiveness.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Planned for implementation of the 2014-2015 Large Scale Treatment Strategy. This strategy is estimated to kill 773,000 larvae by treating large producers in lakes Huron and Michigan during 2014 and 2015 (final estimated kill will be dependent on the 2014 and 2015 rank lists).

2: With the LCTF, developed "A Draft Plan for Sea Lamprey Control and Assessment in the Huron Erie Corridor" proposing GB treatment in the St. Clair River during 2016.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Synthesize results of the 2012-2013 large scale treatment strategy at the stream and lake levels. Draft a final report for the 15-02 SLCB meeting.

2: Conduct distribution surveys in preparation for the next large scale treatment scenario, set to begin in 2016.

3: Work with the TTF and LCTF to continue updating HEC Assessment and Control Plan, as directed by the SLCB.

## Trapping Task Force

## Purpose

Coordinate optimization of trapping techniques for assessing adult Sea Lamprey populations and removing adult and out-migrating juveniles from spawning and feeding populations.

## 2014 Membership

Gale Bravener (Chair) and Mike Steeves (Department), Jessica Barber (Service), Jean Adams, Scott Miehls, Jane Rivera, Alex Haro (USGS); Weiming Li and Michael Wagner (Michigan State University); Rob McLaughlin (University of Guelph), Michael Siefkes, Dale Burkett (Commission Secretariat).

Progress towards goals described in the GLFC Vision:

## Goal 1: Suppress Sea Lamprey populations to target levels.

Strategy 4: Quantify the relationship between the abundance of adult Sea Lampreys, Lake Trout abundance, and marking rates on Lake Trout.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Trap operation and maintenance for the purpose of estimating adult Sea Lamprey abundance was conducted in 71 streams throughout the Great Lakes. The abundance of adult Sea Lampreys was estimated for each of the Great Lakes, using a combination of mark recapture estimates for trapped streams, and model estimates for un-trapped streams.
2: An index to track adult Sea Lamprey populations over time was developed, presented, and accepted by the Sea Lamprey Control Board, Council of Lake Committees, and the Commission. The index value for each lake will be the sum of mark-recapture population estimates from the 5-7 streams, selected for their large populations and consistent trapping histories. This will not rely on estimating populations in streams that are not trapped, which the previous method used.
3: The Secretariat office continued to collect and assemble up to date Lake Trout abundance and wounding rate data from various agencies, generating lakewide averages for status graphs.
4: Several recent and ongoing research projects aimed at improving the assessment of adult and juvenile populations. These include testing fishwheels and ELSTs to capture adult Sea Lampreys in unique situations, and using telemetry to track movements during feeding juvenile to adult life stages. Although these technologies have not led to changes in the field program, some such as ELST show good potential.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Implement the new index method to track adult Sea Lamprey lakewide abundances. This involves operating and maintaining traps at 29 index streams throughout the Great Lakes, rather than 71. Another 3-5 streams will be trapped due to obligations to operate trap and sort fishways.
2: Assemble the most recent Lake Trout abundance and wounding data, and work towards generating more regional or management unit Lake Trout abundance and wounding estimates.
3: Continue monitoring results from recent and ongoing research projects, and be prepared to use new information and methods in the SLCP when they become available.

Strategy 6: Deploy trapping methods to increase capture of adult and out-migrating juvenile Sea Lampreys.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: No Department streams were identified for trapping for control in 2014. The Service conducted trapping on the Pere Marquette River in spring 2014, but did not catch any Sea Lampreys.
2: There are several recent and ongoing research projects aimed at improving the capture efficiency of adults and out-migrating juveniles for control purposes. These include understanding the spatial and diel patterns of out-migrating juveniles, and using technology such as electrical leads to guide adult and out-migrating Sea Lampreys toward and into traps. Although these technologies are not yet ready for implementation in the field program, research is ongoing.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Continue trapping of out-migrating juveniles for control in newly discovered or deferred streams to mitigate escapement to the lakes, beginning in October 2015 if warranted.
2: Continue monitoring results from recent and ongoing research projects, and be prepared to implement effective new technologies and methods into the Sea Lamprey control field program when they become available.

## Goal 2: Increase the effectiveness and efficiency of Sea Lamprey control to maximize reductions in Sea Lamprey populations in each Great Lake.

Strategy 1: Increase the capture of Sea Lampreys by developing cost-effective trapping methods including those based on release of pheromones.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: The management scale 3 kPZS study by Johnson et al. is complete, and the latest analysis to determine factors influencing capture of Sea Lampreys in 3kPZS baited
traps is complete. Results show that 3 kPZS application was more likely to increase trap efficiency on streams that were wide and that had low adult abundances. This generated a new question - does 3 kPZS increase the probability of encountering the trap, or the probability of entering the traps after encounter?
2: The Li laboratory at Michigan State University has identified several new pheromone compounds over the past couple of years, some of which were tested for biological activity in 2014. In total, they have identified 14 compounds from larval washings (e.g. LW1 compounds 971 and 973) and 7 compounds from mating pheromones (e.g. PAMS-24 could be a territoriality pheromone).
3: The Li laboratory has had success in determining ratios of chiral compounds (potentially important for future application if chiral compounds are finally proven to be pheromones; manuscript prepared).
4: The Li laboratory has isolated several compounds with olfactory stimulatory function in Sea Lampreys (not yet tested for pheromone function).
5: Testing in the Li laboratory provided further confirmation that PZS may antagonize 3 kPZS in adult female behavior, and more possible 3 kPZS antagonists have been identified, which need to be further tested in future.
6: The Li laboratory found direct evidence that 3 kPZS release is under close regulation of the endocrine system (manuscript in preparation).
7: The Li laboratory found direct evidence that pheromones influence biological rhythmicity of adult females through influence of "clock" genes.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: The 3 kPZS research team of Johnson et al. will submit a proposal in 2015 to test their hypothesis explaining the mechanism by which 3 kPZS increases trap efficiency.
2: Continue to identify the structure and function of Sea Lamprey pheromone components, and attempt to unequivocally confirm the pheromone function of at least one novel compound (Li lab).
3: Continue to characterize potential antagonists, including tests of potential antagonists in a quasi-natural environment (Li laboratory).
4: Define the mechanisms whereby 3 kPZS affects biological rhythmicity and determine how the information may be used in Sea Lamprey control (Li laboratory)

Strategy 2: Evaluate a repellent-based method to deter Sea Lampreys from spawning areas.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: In the second year of an EPA-funded project, Dr. Tom Luhring (post-doc) tested the efficacy of the alarm cue to block one tributary at a confluence of similar-sized streams and a tributary to a large river in the presence of larval odor. Preliminary data analysis indicates larval removal is necessary before blockage may be achieved with the alarm cue alone.
2: In the first year of an EPA-funded project, Dr. John Hume began examination of Push-Pull application of attractants (3kPZS) and repellents (alarm cue) to guide lampreys into barrier integrated traps at the Carp Lake outlet. Preliminary data
analysis indicates application of the alarm cue to half of the channel (side opposite the trap) decreased the time it took migrants to find the trap by $50 \%$.
3: In the first year of a GLFC-funded project, Greg Byford examined how Sea Lampreys respond to combinations of migratory attractants (larval odor) and the alarm cue in various combinations. They tested migratory responses to wholechannel activation of the alarm cue at multiple concentrations; increasing the concentration did not alter the animals' response.
4: Istvan Imre's student is examining the effects of damage-release alarm cues and predator cues on Sea Lamprey behavior. Building upon previous research that showed several cues (e.g. PEA, extracts of dead white suckers and Sea Lampreys) induce avoidance behaviors in adult Sea Lampreys, the work in 2014 sought to determine whether there was a synergistic effect of concurrent application of different compounds, and the optimal concentration of these compounds. There was no synergistic relationship, and the optimal concentration of PEA is 2E-9 M.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: In 2015 the Wagner laboratory will be testing the efficacy of Push-Pull trapping in open-channel traps (downstream from a barrier).
2: Work will continue in the Wagner laboratory to compare and contrast responses to combinations of larval odor and the alarm cue.
3: Imre's group plans to move testing of PEA from the lab to the field; using a field site on the Ocqueoc River at the Silver Creek confluence to track PIT tagged Sea Lampreys to determine if the PEA can be used to deter Sea Lampreys from ascending one channel.

Strategy 4: Implement integrated strategies for Sea Lamprey control for each lake and evaluate their effectiveness.

## 2014 Outcomes:

1: Worked with LATF to identify and target streams for trapping out-migrating juveniles for control.
2: Evaluated the effects of integrated control strategies that have been implemented (e.g. large-scale treatment strategies) by developing adult Sea Lamprey abundance estimates and wounding rates on Lake Trout.

## 2015 Objectives:

1: Work with LATF to identify and target streams for trapping out-migrating juveniles for control.
2: Continue to evaluate the effect of integrated control strategies that have been implemented by developing adult Sea Lamprey abundance estimates and wounding rates on Lake Trout.

## OUTREACH

The Service and Department are involved in outreach activities to inform the public of the benefits and operations of the SLCP. These efforts educate the public about Sea Lampreys and the devastating effect they have on Great Lakes fishes. The primary tool used during outreach events is an interactive display with graphics and an aquarium that houses live larval and adult lampreys for visitors to experience Sea Lampreys first-hand. During 2014, this display was in attendance at several large capacity events (Table 32).

Table 32. Dates and locations of public outreach performed by agents of the Sea Lamprey control program in 2014.

| Date | Location | Venue | Lead Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 16-20 | Cleveland, OH | Mid-America Boat \& Fishing Show | Service |
| January 22-26 | Chicago, IL | Chicago Outdoor Sport Show | Service |
| February 6-9 | Toronto, ON | Toronto Sportsmen's Show | Department |
| February 12-16 | Duluth, MN | Duluth Boat Sports Travel and RV Show | Service |
| February 21-23 | Thunder Bay, ON | Central Canada <br> Outdoor Show | Department |
| February 28-March 2 | Appleton, WI | Northeast Wisconsin Sport Fishin' Show | Service |
| March 1-2 | Hammond, IN | Cabela's Sport Weekend | GLFC |
| March 15-17 | Niagara Falls, ON | Niagara Sportsmen Show | GLFC |
| March 19 | Marquette, MI | Northern Michigan University Career Fair | Service |
| March 20-23 | Grand Rapids, MI | Ultimate Sport Show | Service |
| March 21-23 | Marquette, MI | Boat Sport and RV Show | Service |
| April 5 | Detroit, MI | Belle Isle Aquarium Event | GLFC |
| May 17-18 | Walpole Island, ON | Walpole Island First Nation Pow wow | DFO |
| May19-23 | Marquette, MI | Bay Cliff | Service |
| June 21 | Dunkirk, NY | Great Lakes Experience | Service |
| June 21-22 | Sarnia, ON | Aamjiwnaang First Nation Pow wow | DFO |
| July 6-12 | LaPorte, IN | LaPorte County Fair | GLFC |
| August 11-17 | Escanaba, MI | U. P. State Fair | Service |
| August 22-31 | Owen Sound, ON | Salmon Spectacular | Department |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes solid formulation of TFM.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes $3.2 \%$ granular Bayluscide applied to lentic areas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lampricide quantities are reported in kg of active ingredient.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.
    ${ }^{3}$ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
    ${ }^{4}$ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (Green Bay).
    ${ }^{5}$ Michigan Department of Natural Resources

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Low-density larval population monitored with $3.2 \%$ granular Bayluscide surveys.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stream being treated based on next large scale treatment
    ${ }^{2}$ Stream being treated based on expert judgement

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Stream being treated based on next large scale treatment
    ${ }^{2}$ Stream being treated based on expert judgement
    ${ }^{3}$ Stream being treated based on geographic efficiency

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The number of Sea Lampreys used to determine percent males, mean length, and mean weight.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gender was determined by using external characteristics.

